WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.10.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

WP Crl. No. 2758 of 2026

CNR:{HCMA012362492026}

Parthiban
S/o Ramakrishnan,
No.2/10, Thenpathi Street,
Puduthurai, Mayiladuthurai District.

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector
   Office of The District Collector,
   Mayiladuthurai.

2. The Superintendent of Police
   O/o The Superintendent of Police,
   Mayiladuthurai District.

3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
   O/o The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
   Sirkali Sub-Division,
   Mayiladuthurai District.

4. The Inspector of Police
   Thiruvenkadu Police Station,
   Mayiladuthurai District.

..Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 22.09.2026 and seeking protection from unnecessary police interference, harassment and illegal detention/arrest in accordance with law, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Petitioner: Mr.C.T.Saravanan

For Respondents: Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

Heard Mr.C.T.Saravanan for the petitioner and Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar for the respondents.

2. The petitioner is a history sheeter. He is facing several cases in the Mayiladuthurai District. On account of the said fact, a history sheet was opened against the petitioner as early as in 2013. He is being closely monitored by the respondents. On account of the monitoring, the petitioner is being called upon by the fourth respondent from time to time to appear before him.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that as per the orders passed by the District and Sessions Judge, Mayidaduthurai in Crl.M.P.No.165 of 2025 dated 17.02.2025, he has been directed not to enter the Sirkazhi jurisdiction. He states that the fourth respondent falls within Sirkazhi jurisdiction. Being a law abiding citizen, the petitioner fears that in case he appears before the fourth respondent, he will be violating the orders of the Court.

4. I find sufficient force in the argument made by Mr.C.T.Saravanan. The petitioner is disabled from moving the Sessions

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Court in S.C. No.84 of 2022 and seek for modification of the condition on account of the fact that the said proceedings have been stayed by this Court in Crl.O.P. No.4202 of 2025 dated 17.02.2025. The petitioner cannot be given Hobson's choice. If he does not appear, the police will take him to task. If he appears, then he will be violating the orders passed by the District and Sessions Judge, Mayiladuthurai. An easy solution is at hand. Instead of the fourth respondent monitoring the activity of the petitioner, the petitioner shall present himself once in a week before the Inspector of Police, Town Police Station, Kumbakonam West. He shall do so until further orders or till the orders are modified by the District and Sessions Judge at Mayiladuthurai or by this Court in Crl.O.P. No.4202 of 2025. The writ petition is ordered accordingly.

28-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
mmi/pss

To

1.The District Collector
Office of The District Collector,
Mayiladuthurai.

2.The Superintendent of Police
O/o The Superintendent of Police,
Mayiladuthurai District.

3.The Deputy Superintendent of Police
O/o The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Sirkali Sub-Division,
Mayiladuthurai District.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN J.

mmi

4.The Inspector of Police
Thiruvenkadu Police Station,
Mayiladuthurai District.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station,
Kumbakonam West.

6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

WP Crl. No. 2758 of 2026

28.10.2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis