WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K. SURENDER

WP No. 43277 of 2026

CNR:{HCMA012342072026}

J.Sivaramakrishnan.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
   Office of the District Collector (Chennai District),
   No.62, 4th Floor, Singaravelavar Maaligai,
   Rajaji Salai, Chennai-600 001.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
   Chennai South Division,
   Anna Salai, Chennai-600 032.

3. The Tahsildar
   Office of the Tahsildar (Velachery Taluk),
   No.3, Near Pimary Health Centre,
   Seva Nagar, Gandhi Road, 1st Main Road,
   Velachery, Chennai-600 042.

4. SMT.M.Charubala

..Respondent(s)

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 to 3, to consider petitioners representation dated 27.07.2026 before the respondents herein to implement the ordrs already passed by the competent revenue authorities vide, D.R.No.2025/0153/02/042762TR, dated 23.08.2025, D.R.No.2026/0153/02/0129, dated 13.05.2026, D.R.No.2026/0153/02/026887TR, dated 16.06.2026 for rectification of the erroneous revenue entry relating to T.S.No.165 and to delete the name of 4th respondent from the revenue records pertaining to the said property passage,

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

within the time frame.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.Mrid Law Firm
For Respondent(s): Ms.Saranya Priya Government Advocate

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the representation dated 27.07.2026 submitted by the petitioner, for implementing the orders passed by the competent revenue authorities in D.R.No.2025/ 0153/ 02/ 042762TR dated 23.08.2025, D.R.No.2026/ 0153/ 02/ 012996TR dated 13.05.2026 and D.R.No.2026/ 0153/ 02/ 026887TR dated 16.06.2026, by rectifying the erroneous revenue entries relating to T.S.No.165 and deleting the name of the 4th respondent from the revenue records pertaining to the property passage.

2. The petitioner is a senior citizen aged about 78 years and claims to be the absolute owner of the property situated at Old No.4, New No.9, Subramaniyam Avenue, Thiruvanmiyur, Chennai – 600 041, comprised in T.S.No.164. According to the petitioner, T.S.No.165 is a property passage appurtenant to his property.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that the name of the 4th respondent, who resides on the opposite side of the 20-feet road abutting the petitioner's property, was erroneously incorporated in the revenue records pertaining to T.S.No.165. The petitioner further submits that the 4th respondent herself had admitted that

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

she has no right, title or interest over the said property passage and had also submitted a representation before the Revenue Divisional Officer requesting that the inclusion of her name in the revenue records be treated as having no legal effect.

4. The petitioner submits that he had approached the competent revenue authorities on several occasions seeking rectification of the erroneous revenue entries. Pursuant to the representations made by him, the competent authorities had passed orders directing the necessary rectification and deletion of the name of the 4th respondent from the revenue records. However, despite the aforesaid orders, the consequential correction has not been carried out till date.

5. The petitioner further submits that he had once again submitted a representation dated 27.07.2026 before the 1st respondent seeking implementation of the aforesaid orders. Since no effective action has been taken by the respondents, the petitioner, being a senior citizen, has been constrained to approach this Court.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the competent revenue authorities have already passed orders in favour of the petitioner and that the present writ petition is confined to seeking implementation of the said orders. It is further submitted that the continued

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

inaction on the part of the respondents in carrying out the consequential correction is causing considerable hardship to the petitioner.

7. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 would submit that the representation of the petitioner would be considered by the competent authorities in accordance with law and that reasonable time may be granted for taking appropriate consequential action. The learned counsel appearing for the 4th respondent would submit that the matter may be considered on its own merits.

8. This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

9. It is seen that the petitioner has already obtained orders from the competent revenue authorities in respect of the disputed revenue entries pertaining to T.S.No.165. The grievance of the petitioner is that, notwithstanding the aforesaid orders, the consequential correction in the revenue records has not been effected. The petitioner has also submitted a representation dated 27.07.2026 seeking implementation of the said orders.

10. In view of the limited relief sought for in the present writ petition, this Court is of the view that the representation of the petitioner requires

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

consideration by the competent authorities and appropriate consequential action is required to be taken in accordance with law.

11. Accordingly, the respondents 1 to 3 are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 27.07.2026 and take appropriate consequential action for implementing the orders passed by the competent revenue authorities in D.R.No.2025/0153/02/042762TR dated 23.08.2025, D.R.No.2026/0153/02/012996TR dated 13.05.2026 and D.R.No.2026/0153/02/026887TR dated 16.06.2026, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the necessary parties, if required, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

12. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner or the rights of the parties in respect of the property in question.

13. With the above directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

K.SURENDER J.
29-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
JAI

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

K.SURENDER J.

JAI

To

1. The District Collector
   Office of the District Collector (Chennai District),
   No.62, 4th Floor, Singaravelavar Maaligai,
   Rajaji Salai, Chennai-600 001.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
   Chennai South Division,
   Anna Salai, Chennai-600 032.

3. The Tahsildar
   Office of the Tahsildar (Velachery Taluk),
   No.3, Near Pimary Health Centre,
   Seva Nagar, Gandhi Road, 1st Main Road,
   Velachery, Chennai-600 042.

WP No. 43277 of 2026

29-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis