



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08.06.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

C.S(COMM DIV) No. 248 of 2024

and

O.A.Nos.950 & 951 of 2024

Ms.G.Nalini,
Sole Proprietor,
M/s. Jeyam Ro Systems,
Having its registered office at
No.1/148, Gajalakshmi Nagar,
Iyyapanthangal, Chennai – 600 056.

..Plaintiff

Vs

Mr.K.Ganesh, Proprietor,
M/s.Jeyam Traders,
No.3/2D, 26th Street, Balaji Nagar Extension II,
Puzhuthivakkam, Chennai – 600 091.

..Respondent

Civil Suit filed under Order IV Rule 1 of Original Side Rules and Order VII Rule 1 of C.P.C., and Sections 2(1)(c)(xvii) read with Section 7 of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 read with Sections 28,29,134,135 of the Trademarks Act, 1999, praying to;-

a) Grant a permanent injunction restraining the defendant acting through or by its partners, men, servants, agents, representative, franchisees, licensees and or all other person acting on its behalf from in any manner infringing or enabling other to infringe plaintiff's trademarks  "JEYAM TRADERS" and other associated trademarks of the plaintiff;

b) Grant a permanent injunction restraining the defendant acting through or by its partners, men, servants, agents, representative, franchisees, licensees



and or all other person acting on its behalf from in any manner passing off or enabling other to pass off the plaintiff's trademark "JEYAM TRADERS" or the associated trademarks owned by the plaintiff;



c) Direct the defendant to remove the website "<http://www.jeyamtraders.co.in/>" and to surrender to the plaintiff for destruction, all the infringing covers, labels, invoice/bills, advertising material and other materials with the defendant containing the trademarks  and "JEYAM TRADERS";

d) direct the defendant to render accounts of profit made by the use of the deceptively similar trademark/tradename  "JEYAM TRADERS" and "JEYAM TRADERS" amounting to infringement of the registered trademark and/or passing off and pay the same to the plaintiff;

e. direct the defendant to pay the costs of the suit;


f. pass such further or other relief as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper and thus render justice.

For Plaintiff : Mr.D.Ganesh Raj

For Respondent(s): Mr.C.Venkatesalu

JUDGMENT

When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing on either side would submit in unison that the parties to the suit have settled the dispute among themselves and an undertaking affidavit has also been filed by the defendant to that effect. The same has also been acknowledged by the respective learned counsel appearing for the parties.



2. Recording the said undertaking affidavit, the suit stands disposed of in terms of the undertaking affidavit filed on 03.06.2026. The said undertaking affidavit shall form part and parcel of the decree. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected applications are closed.

3. The Registry is directed to grant necessary refund of Court fee as per the rules.

08.06.2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

mp



WEB COPY

C.S(COMM DIV) No. 248 of 2



K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

mp

C.S(COMM DIV) No. 248 of 2024

08.06.2026