WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 05-11-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR**

**CRP No. 6959 of 2026**
**C.M.P.No.29934 of 2026**
*CNR: {HCMA012272342026}*

G. Venkatesan

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Muniyammal (Died)

Meenakshi (Died)

1. Kothandaraman
2. Ranganayaki

Adhilakshmi (Died)

3. Prema
4. Kalai
5. Loganathan
6. Viswanathan
7. Kamalanathan
8. Selvi
9. Govindasamy
10. Govindasamy
    s/o.Natesan

..Respondent(s)

**Prayer**:- Civil Revision Petition is filed under Section 115 of Civil Procedure Code, pleased to set aside the impugned order dated 12.08.2026 passed in E.A.No.2 of 2026 in E.A.No.1 of 2026 in E.P.No.19 of 2015 in O.S.No.671 of 2008 pending on the file of the District Munsif at Sriperumbudur.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.K.Murali



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY



**ORDER**

The Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the order passed by the Executing Court dismissing the application filed by the petitioner seeking issuance of witness summon to the Village Administrative Officer for production of certified documents relevant to the case.

2.The respondents 1 to 9 obtained a decree for possession against tenth respondent and others. The said decree is put into execution in E.P.No.19 of 2015. The petitioner filed an execution application in E.A.No.1 of 2026 obstructing the delivery. During the course of enquiry in the obstruction petition filed by the petitioner he filed instant application seeking issuance of witness summons to the Village Administrative Officer of Kandivakkam Village and to produce document relevant to the case.

3.It is the case of the petitioner the subject property was classified as “Arunthadiyar Community land” and patta was issued in the name of the petitioner’s mother. In the affidavit filed in support of the present application seeking issuance of witness summon the petitioner has not stated what is the document be produced by the Village Administrative Officer. If the petitioner wants production of revenue documents relating to classification of the subject property it is always open to him to make an application before the concerned revenue officer and get the certified copy and produce it before the Court. It is



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

also open to him to follow the procedure under Rule 75 of Civil Rules of Practice and get the document from the Revenue Department. Without making any endeavour to get the certified copy from the Revenue Department, the petitioner is not entitled to straight away file an application seeking issuance of witness summon to the revenue official.

4.Further in the affidavit the petitioner has not stated what is the document be produced by the concerned revenue officer. Therefore, the Executing Court rightly dismissed the application filed by the petitioner. I do not find any error in the impugned order. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected Civil Miscellaneous Petition is closed. No costs. However, it is always open to the petitioner to follow the procedure under Rule 75 of the Civil Rules of Practice and get the document from the Revenue Department and produce it before the Executing Court.

**05-11-2026**

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

EP
To:-
The District Munsif,
Sriperumbudur.



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY



**S.SOUNTHAR, J.**

**EP**

**CRP No. 6959 of 2026**
**C.M.P.No.29934 of 2026**

**05-11-2026**



https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis