



WEB COPY

WP No. 2598 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

WP No. 2598 of 2026

1. S.Allirani
2. S. Vinoth Kumar

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Commissioner,
Greater Corporation of Chennai,
Chennai-600 003.
2. Regional Divisional Officer (North),
No.62, Basin Bridge Road, Old Washermenpet,
Chennai -600 021.
3. The Zonal Officer Zone-4,
Greater Corporation of Chennai,
Thodiarpetti, Chennai-600 021.
4. Executive Engineer,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Zone-4, Thodiarpetti, Chennai-600 021.
5. Solomon

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st Respondent to dispose of petitioner representation dated 19.03.2025 to take action by remove their brother Solomon/5th respondent from service for getting job on compassionate ground by producing the fraudulently document by their brother Solomon /5th



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.D.Dharma

For Respondent(s):

Mr.A.C.Mani Bharathi for R1 to R4

ORDER

This writ petition is filed to direct the first respondent to dispose of petitioner's representation dated 19.03.2025 to take action by remove their brother Solomon/5th respondent from service for getting job on compassionate ground by producing the fraudulently document.

2. The case of the petitioners is that the petitioners and the fifth respondent are legal heirs of Mr.V.Srinivasan, who worked as Sanitary Worker in the Greater Chennai Corporation. After his demise on 22.02.2013, the petitioners along with fifth respondent approached the Greater Chennai Corporation with proper channel for death benefits, provident fund, Gratuity and other benefits and for job under compassionate ground. However, without any intimation or permission, the fifth respondent got the job of his father on compassionate ground by submitting forged document of consent and no objection from the petitioners. Thus, the petitioners herein made a representation to the respondents 1 to 4 to take action against the fifth respondent. However, the same was not considered. Hence, this writ petition.



3. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

4. When this Court expressed its opinion, that the issue involved in the present case pertains to disputed questions of facts, the same cannot be entertained under Article 226 of the Constitution, the learned counsel for the petitioner restricted his prayer and sought permission of this Court to approach the appropriate forum.

5. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum. No costs.

30-01-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VM



WEB COPY

WP No. 2598 of 2



M.DHANDAPANI, J.

VM

To:

1. The Commissioner,
Greater Corporation of Chennai,
Chennai-600 003.
2. Regional Divisional Officer (North),
No.62, Basin Bridge Road, Old Washermenpet,
Chennai -600 021.
3. The Zonal Officer Zone-4,
Greater Corporation of Chennai,
Thodiarpetti, Chennai-600 021.
4. Executive Engineer,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Zone-4, Thodiarpetti, Chennai-600 021.

WP No. 2598 of 2026

30-01-2026