IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.02.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.SARAVANAN

W.P.No.35865 of 2024

N.Krishnaraj ... Petitioner

Vs.

The Senior Revenue Officer – Zone XIII
Greater Chennai Corporation,
115, Dr Muthulakshmi Salai,
LB Road, Adyar
Chennai – 600 020. ... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the Respondents to consider the representation dated 23.09.2024 and pass suitable orders.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Karthik

For Respondent : Mrs.P.T.Ramadevi
Standing Counsel

ORDER

The Petitioner has prayed for a mandamus to direct the Respondents to consider the Petitioner's representation dated 23.09.2024.

2. Prior to the filing of the Writ Petition, the Petitioner had also approached this Court in W.P.No.6023 of 2010. The said Writ Petition came to be disposed on 04.07.2019 with the following directions:-

> *"3.In the light of the above observations, the impugned order for Name Transfer No.09/9425, in the file of Revenue Officer, Chennai Corporation, is set aside. Consequently, the matter is remitted back to the respondent herein for a fresh consideration by giving due prior notice to the petitioner, with regard to the proposed revision along with the details of the calculation as to how the respondents had arrived the revised rate, within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such notice, the petitioner herein shall give his objections, within a period of 30 days from the notice, which shall be considered duly by the respondents. Pending such proceedings, the petitioner shall continue to pay the property tax at the old rates.*
>
> *4.Accordingly, the writ petition shall disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs."*

3. *Post facto*, after the present Writ Petition was filed, an assessment order came to be passed on 06.06.2025. A Notice of final assessment was also issued to the Petitioner on 30.05.2025. Thus, the contention of the learned counsel for the Petitioner stands addressed for the present.

4. In case the Petitioner is aggrieved by the assessment made by the Respondent, after the filing of the Writ Petition, it is open for the Petitioner to work out the remedy in the manner known to law.

5. This Writ Petition stands dismissed with the above observations. No costs.

20.02.2026

Neutral Citation: Yes / No
jas

To:

The Senior Revenue Officer – Zone XIII
Greater Chennai Corporation,
115, Dr Muthulakshmi Salai,
LB Road, Adyar
Chennai – 600 020.

C.SARAVANAN, J.

jas

W.P.No.35865 of 2024

20.02.2026