Order QR

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

CRP No. 6822 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012121812026}

Epsy Clara, W/o. Yesupatham,
No.16/30, M.C.C.Model Village,
MES road, East Tambaram,
Chennai-600 059.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Madras Christian College Association
On its Behalf, Its Secretary/Principal,
No.78, Harrington Road,
Chetpet, Chennai-600 031.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Section 115 of CPC to set aside the order passed in I.A.No.1/2025 in A.S.Sr.No.5676/2025 dated 16-06-2026 by the Principal District Judge, Chengalpattu District.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. G.Peranban

For Respondent(s): r.T.Madhusudana Reddy

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the first appellate court, dismissing the application filed by the petitioner seeking to condone the delay of 1132 days in filing the appeal.

2.The respondent herein/plaintiff filed a suit in O.S.No.197 of 2015 on the file of Subordinate Judge, Tambaram and it was decreed in favour of him on 08.04.2022. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner/defendant preferred an appeal before the first appellate court with a delay of 1132 days and the condone delay petition was dismissed by the first appellate court on 16.06.2026. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner filed the present civil revision petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner by taking this court to the affidavit filed in support of the condone delay petition would submit that after disposal of the suit, the petitioner was prevented from filing an appeal immediately due to her illness and knee problem and hence, he prayed to set aside the impugned order passed by the first appellate court and to allow the condone delay petition.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

4. The vague reason given by the petitioner to explain the lengthy delay of 1132 days in filing the appeal is not acceptable. Though it is stated by the petitioner that she was suffering from knee problem and some kind of illness, no medical documents have been filed by her before the first appellate court. The petitioner not even entered the box and depose in support of the averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the condone delay petition. In such circumstances, the first appellate court rightly came to the conclusion that the petitioner failed to explain the inordinate delay and dismissed the condone delay petition. I do not find any material irregularity or illegality in the impugned order passed by the first appellate court.

5. Accordingly, this civil revision petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

29-10-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MST

To

The Principal District Judge,

Chengalpattu District.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

MST

CRP No. 6822 of 2026

29-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis