



WEB COPY



A.S.No.991 of 2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

**Dated: 12.02.2026**

**CORAM:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BALAJI**

**A.S.No.991 of 2025  
and  
CMP.No.23467 of 2025**

V.Boopathi

... Appellant

Vs.

K.Ravi

... Respondent

**Prayer:-** Appeal Suit filed under Section 96 of CPC., to set aside the judgement and decree passed in O.S.No.225 of 2021 dated 20.12.2024 on the file of the learned Additional District Judge (FTC), Kancheepuram.

For Appellant : No appearance

For Respondent : Mr.M.Kempraj

**J U D G E M E N T**

Pursuant to the learned counsel for the appellant reporting “no instructions”, this Court had directed the name of the appellant to be printed in the cause list and posted the matter under the caption “for dismissal” today. The appeal is listed today under the said caption. There



A.S.No.991 of 2025

is no representation on behalf of the appellant. Even earlier, sufficient time had been granted to the appellant not only to comply with the conditional order passed by this Court granting interim protection as against the execution proceedings, but also to prosecute the appeal.

2.In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option except to dismiss the Appeal Suit for non-prosecution. Accordingly, this Appeal Suit is dismissed for non-prosecution. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. No costs.

**12.02.2026**

Index : Yes/No  
Neutral Citation : Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-Speaking Order  
kv

To

The Additional District Judge (FTC), Kancheepuram.



WEB COPY



A.S.No.991 of 2025

**P.B.BALAJI, J.**

kv

**A.S.No.991 of 2025**

**12.02.2026**