



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-06-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

CRP Nos. 4285 & 4292 of 2025

and C.M.P.No.22024 of 2025

R.Radhika

..Petitioner in both petitions

Vs

G. Ramesh Babu

..Respondent in both petitions

COMMON PRAYER – These Civil Revision Petitions are filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to set aside the fair and decreetal common order dated 19-06-2025 passed by the Principal Family Court, Chennai in I.A.Nos.22 & 20 of 2025 in I.A.Nos.16 & 15 of 2025 respectively in O.P.No.3980 of 2019.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.R.Thiagarajan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.J.Saravana Vel

COMMON ORDER

These Civil Revision Petitions have been filed challenging the common impugned order dated 19.06.2025 passed in I.A.Nos.22 & 20 of 2025 in



I.A.Nos.16 & 15 of 2025 respectively in O.P.No.3980 of 2019, on the file of the Principal Family Court, Chennai.

WEB COPY

2.The petitioner is the wife and the respondent is her husband. The respondent filed O.P.No.3980 of 2019 on the file of the Principal Family Court, Chennai, seeking for dissolution of his marriage with the petitioner. During the pendency of O.P.No.3980 of 2019, the petitioner filed I.A.Nos.22 & 20 of 2025 in I.A.Nos.16 & 15 of 2025 in O.P.No.3980 of 2019, seeking for reception of additional documents. Under the impugned order dated 19.06.2025 passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Chennai, the Court below partly allowed I.A.Nos.22 & 20 of 2025 in I.A.Nos.16 & 15 of 2025, permitting the petitioner to file the documents, except Document Nos.2, 3 and 12. Aggrieved by the refusal of the Principal Family Court, Chennai, for permitting the petitioner to file Document Nos.2,3 and 12, these Civil Revision Petition have been filed. Document No.2 is the BSNL Wi-Fi internet payment bills (series) said to have been paid by the petitioner between 2023-2025. Document No.3 is the TNEB bills (series) said to have been paid by the petitioner between 2023-2025. Document No.12 is the details of borrowings said to have been made by the petitioner along with Promissory Note for providing FITJEE course, between 2024-2025. The petitioner claims that due to the aforesaid expenses incurred by her, there occurred a necessity for filing Document Nos.2, 3 and 12. However, the Trial Court, under the impugned order, has refused to receive the said




documents on the ground that the original documents have not been filed and the said documents are also irrelevant.

WEB COPY

3.The Original Petition in H.M.O.P.No.254 of 2013, renumbered as H.M.O.P.No.3980 of 2019, which have been filed to dissolve the marriage is getting stalled due to the pendency of these Civil Revision Petitions. The respondent seeks for dissolution of his marriage with the petitioner. The petitioner seeks for restitution of conjugal rights and has also filed a separate Original Petition in H.M.O.P.No.3490 of 2019, for the same. Both the O.P.s are pending before the very same Court. H.M.O.P. filed by the respondent is of the year 2013 and the H.M.O.P. filed by the petitioner for restitution of conjugal rights is of the year 2019. Both the H.M.O.P.s are pending for the very long time.

4.The learned counsel for the respondent has also pointed out to this Court the common order of this Court dated 05.08.2025, passed in C.R.P.Nos.3500 & 3503 of 2025, wherein a direction was issued to the Family Court, to pronounce the judgment in the main Original Petition on or before 08.09.2025 without seeking further extension of time. According to the respondent, due to the Interlocutory Applications filed by the petitioner in these Civil Revision Petitions, the Family Court could not pronounce the judgment in



the main O.P., within the time stipulated by this Court in C.R.P.Nos.3500 & 3503 of 2025.


WEB COPY

5. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that similar application also filed by the respondent seeking for reception of additional documents also came to be allowed by the Family Court.

6. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the respective contentions. Since both the Original Petitions filed by the respective parties are yet to be disposed of, this Court, in the interest of justice, will have to dispose of these Civil Revision Petitions by issuing following directions:

(a) The Document Nos.2, 3 and 12, which were not allowed to be received by the Principal Family Court, Chennai, under the impugned order are directed to be received by the Trial Court, subject to admission, proof and relevancy.

(b) The Family Court is directed to dispose of both the Original Petitions, one filed by the petitioner in H.M.O.P.No.3490 of 2019 and the other filed by the respondent in H.M.O.P.No.254 of 2013, renumbered as H.M.O.P.No.3980 of 2019, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this common order.



7. With the aforesaid direction, these Civil Revision Petitions are disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

11-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GSA

To

The Principal Judge,
Family Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRP Nos. 4285 & 4292 of 2



ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

GSA

**CRP Nos. 4285 & 4292 of 2025
and C.M.P.No.22024 of 2025**

11-06-2026