



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-03-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

**CRP No. 4411 of 2025
and CMP.No.22562 of 2025**

1. Dinesh
S/o Late Ramu, Res at Door No.32/1,
Kabilarmalai Road, Paramathi Post,
Velur Taluk, Namakkal District

2. Mahesh
S/o Late Ramu, Res at Door No.32/1,
Kabilarmalai Road, Paramathi Post,
Velur Taluk, Namakkal District

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Sellapan
S/o Malaiyappa Gounder, Res at Door
No.2/362/1, Valayapatti Main road,
Rasipalayam Post, Mohanur Taluk,
Namakkal District

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 Constitution of India, prays to set aside the final order passed in IA.No. 4 of 2024 in OS.No.74 of 2022 dated 02.06.2025 on the file of the Special Court for Trial of Cases Registered Under SC/ST(POA) Act, Namakkal.

For Petitioner(s): Deepanuday
Vengadesh Durairaja V.k

For Respondent(s): M.Saravanakumar
M.Bakiyalakshmi
E.Parthiban For Sole Respondent




ORDER

The petitioners have filed this petition to set aside the final order passed in IA.No. 4 of 2024 in OS.No.74 of 2022 dated 02.06.2025 on the file of the Special Court for Trial of Cases Registered Under SC/ST(POA) Act, Namakkal.

2. Challenging the impugned order passed in I.A. No. 4 of 2024 in O.S.No. 74 of 2022, the defendant has filed the present revision.

3. Before the Trial Court, the defendant filed a petition under Section 151 CPC seeking a joint trial of O.S. No. 99 of 2021 along with O.S. No. 74 of 2022, both pending before the Special Court for trial of cases registered under the SC/ST (POA) Act, Namakkal. The said application was allowed by the learned Trial Judge on the ground that the disputed properties in both suits are one and the same and that the parties are close relatives. Aggrieved by the same, the plaintiffs in O.S. No. 74 of 2022 has preferred this revision.

4. The learned counsel for the revision petitioner contended that the survey numbers mentioned in both suits are entirely different. Therefore, the order passed by the learned Trial Judge, without taking note of this material fact, is erroneous and liable to be set aside.



5. Per contra, the learned counsel for the respondent submitted that in both suits the original survey number was 171/A, which was subsequently subdivided. Hence, the issues involved in both suits relate to the same original survey number and arise out of a common source of title. Therefore, the order passed by the learned Trial Judge requires no interference.

6. Admittedly, during the pendency of the cross-examination of PW1, the defendant filed the application seeking a joint trial. It is also admitted that the original survey number in both suits was 171/A, measuring an extent of 6.64 acres, derived from a common owner as per the genealogy. The parties are legal heirs of the original owner. In such circumstances, a joint trial would avoid conflicting findings and is just and necessary. Hence, the order passed by the Trial Court does not warrant interference.

7. It is further submitted that one of the respondents is aged about 70 years and that there has been lack of cooperation during the trial. Both parties are directed to cooperate with the Trial Court for expeditious disposal of the suits. The learned Trial Judge is directed to dispose of the cases within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



8. In view of the above, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed.

Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

02-03-2026

Index:Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet:Yes
Neutral Citation:Yes/No
rri



CRP No. 4411 of 2025



To

- 1.The Special Court for Trial of Cases Registered Under SC/ST(POA) Act, Namakkal.
- 2.The Section officer, VR Section, High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 4411 of 2025



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.
rri

CRP No. 4411 of 2025

02-03-2026