WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 37716 of 2026

and WMP Nos.42354 and 42355 of 2026

Case QR

CNR: {HCMA012009502026}

Ms Excelv Technologies,
Rep by its Proprietor Authorised Signatory,
Manavallan Mohanraj,
No.24A/13, V.O.C. Street,
Ambal Nagar, Ekkattuthangal,
Chennai 600 032.
GSTIN 33APKPM8794G1ZC

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Deputy Commercial Tax Officer
   The Deputy commercial Tax officer
   Ekkattuthangal Assessment circle
   south-II, Chennai south
   commercial Taxes and Registration Department
   Integrated commercial Taxes and Registration
   Department building, 3rd floor,
   Room NO.306, Government Farm village
   Nandanam, Chennai-600 035

2. The Assistant Commissioner ST,
   Ekkattuthangal Assessment Circle,
   Commercial Taxes and Registration Department,
   Integrated Commercial Taxes and Registration
   Department Building, 3rd Floor, Room No.306,
   Government Farm Village, Nandanam, Chennai
   600 035

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

3. The Deputy Commissioner ST,
Office of the Deputy Commissioner ST, GST Appeals, Commercial Taxes and Registration Department, Integrated Commercial Taxes and Registration Department Building, Government Farm Village, Nandanam, Chennai 600 035

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the impugned Assessment Order dated 19.2.2025 passed by the 1st respondent in respect of GSTIN 33APKPM8794G1ZC for the assessment year 2020-2021, quash the same, and consequently order the 1st Respondent to reconsider the Show Cause Notice in Form GST DRC-01 dated 08.10.2024, after considering the Petitioners reply dated 18.12.2024 and affording an effective opportunity of personal hearing, and further order the Respondents to defreeze/unblock the Petitioners bank account

For Petitioner(s): Mr. N. Vignesh

For Respondent(s): Mr. I. Dinesh
Additional Government Pleader (Taxes)

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed challenging the order dated 19.02.2025 passed by the first respondent.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. Mr. I. Dinesh, learned Additional Government Pleader (Taxes) takes notice on behalf of the respondents. By consent of the parties, the main Writ Petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, all notices/communications were uploaded by the first respondent under the "View Additional Notices and Orders" column in the GST common portal. Since the petitioner was not aware of the said notices, they failed to file their reply within the time. Under these circumstances, the impugned order came to be passed by the first respondent without providing any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner. Therefore, this petition has been filed.

4. Further, he would submit that the petitioner is voluntarily willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount to the first respondent. To that effect, he has also made an endorsement in the Court bundle. Hence, he requests this Court to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to present their case before the first respondent by setting aside the impugned order.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that the first respondent had

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

uploaded the notices in the GST Online Portal. But the petitioner failed to avail the said opportunity. Further, he has fairly admitted that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the petitioner prior to the passing of impugned order. Therefore, he requested this Court to remit the matter back to the first respondent, subject to the payment of 25% of the disputed tax amount by the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondents and also perused the materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, it is evident that the show cause notice was uploaded on the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, he was not aware of the issuance of the said show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. In such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notice.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

8. No doubt, sending notice by uploading in portal is a sufficient service, but, the Officer who is sending the repeated reminders, despite the fact that there is no response from the petitioner to the show cause notices etc., should have applied his/her mind and explored the possibility of sending notices by way of other modes prescribed in Section 169 of the GST Act, which are also the valid mode of service under the Act, otherwise it will not be an effective service, rather, it would only amount to fulfilling the empty formalities. Merely passing an *ex parte* order by fulfilling the empty formalities will not serve any useful purpose and the same will only pave way for multiplicity of litigations, not only wasting the time of the Officer concerned, but also the precious time of the Appellate Authority/Tribunal and this Court as well.

9. Thus, when there is no response from the tax payer to the notice sent through a particular mode, the Officer who is issuing notices should strictly explore the possibilities of sending notices through some other mode as prescribed in Section 169(1) of the Act, preferably by way of RPAD, which would ultimately achieve the object of the GST Act. Therefore, this Court finds that there has been a lack of effort in applying the available opportunities to serve the notices/orders etc., effectively to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

Order QR

WEB COPY

10. Further, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax amount to the first respondent. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order 19.02.2025 passed by the first respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 19.02.2025 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the first respondent for fresh consideration on condition that the petitioner pays 25% of disputed tax amount to the first respondent within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount. In the event, any amount is already recovered or deposited, the petitioner is granted liberty to adjust the said amount while making payment of 25% of the disputed tax amount.

(ii) The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of three weeks from the date of payment of amount as stated above.

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice, by fixing the date of personal hearing, to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

(iv) Considering the fact that the impugned order itself has been set aside, this Court is of the opinion that the attachment made on the bank account of the petitioner, cannot survive any longer and hence, it is to be lifted. As a sequel, the Bank concerned is directed to de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner, immediately upon proof of payment of the said amount and permit them to operate the account normally. Upon de-freezing the bank account, the Bank shall intimate the same to the respondent authority.

11. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. W.M.P.No.42354 of 2026 filed to dispense with the production of the original impugned order, is allowed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

17-11-2026

Asi

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Deputy Commercial Tax Officer
   The Deputy commercial Tax officer
   Ekkattuthangal Assessment circle
   south-II, Chennai south
   commercial Taxes and Registration Department
   Integrated commercial Taxes and Registration
   Department building, 3rd floor, Room NO.306,
   Government Farm Village
   Nandanam, Chennai-600 035.

2. The Assistant Commissioner ST,
   Ekkattuthangal Assessment Circle,
   Commercial Taxes and Registration Department,
   Integrated Commercial Taxes and Registration
   Department Building, 3rd Floor, Room No.306,
   Government Farm Village, Nandanam, Chennai
   600 035

3. The Deputy Commissioner ST,
   Office of the Deputy Commissioner ST, GST
   Appeals, Commercial Taxes and Registration
   Department, Integrated Commercial Taxes and
   Registration Department Building, Government
   Farm Village, Nandanam, Chennai 600 035

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

Asi

WP No. 37716 of 2026

and WMP Nos.42354 and 42355 of 2026

17-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis