WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

WP Crl. No. 2277 of 2026

CNR:{HCMA011954262026}

Case QR

G.Sinivasan
S/o.Gopal,
No.10/316, Boyar Kattavalavu,
Thumbipady Post,
Kadayampatty Taluk,
Salem District.
Tamilnadu - 636 455.

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The Director General of Police
   DGP Office,
   Chennai - 600 004.

2. The Superintendent of Police
   Office of Superintendent of Police,
   Salem District - 636 002.

3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
   Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
   Omalur,
   Salme - 636455.

4. The Inspector of Police
   Deevattipatti Police Station,
   Deevattipatti - 636351.

5. M/s.Divisional Engineer
   Highways construction and maintenance,
   Edappadi.

6. Chinnammal
   W/o.Govindhan,
   Boyar Kattuvalavu, Thumbipady Post,
   Kadayampatti Taluk, Salem District.

..Respondents

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, order or direction, directing the 4th Respondent to provide adequate Police Protection to the petitioner, workmen and to his assisting highways official for the digging to lay down the pipeline pursuant to the approval given by the 5th Respondent in Letter.No.0071/2025 -26 dated 19.01.2026 and consequently forbear the 6th respondent and their men, from in any manner interfering with or obstructing the petitioner lawful digging for pipeline works.

For Petitioner : Mr.Kamalanathan.N

For Respondents : Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar,
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side) for R1 to R4

Ms.R.Poornima,
Additional Government Pleader for R5

Mr.A.Adhishankar For R6

Order

Pursuant to the order of this Court, the revenue authorities had conducted the survey of the properties concerned in this writ petition. The status report filed by the Engineer shows that the land over which the pipeline route that is sought to be laid entirely belongs to the Highways Department and it does not, in any way, infringe or encroach upon the property of the sixth respondent.

2. The counsel for the sixth respondent reports that it is only on account of an apprehension that the pipelines will run over his property, the sixth

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

respondent had objected the same. Now, as the report clearly states that the pipelines will not run over the property of the sixth respondent, he has no objection for the laying of the pipelines over the Highways, for which the permission had been secured on 19.01.2026.

3. Recording the report and the stand of the sixth respondent, **this petition stands disposed of**. The fifth respondent shall ensure that the pipelines to the petitioner's property is laid only over the Highways land and it should not infringe upon the property of the sixth respondent or any other private property. In the event there is any obstruction, it is always open to the petitioner to approach this court and seek for protection.

20-11-2026

nl

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Director General of Police
   DGP Office,
   Chennai - 600 004.

2. The Superintendent of Police
   Office of Superintendent of Police,
   Salem District - 636 002.

3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
   Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
   Omalur,
   Salem - 636455.

4. The Inspector of Police
   Deevattipatti Police Station,
   Deevattipatti - 636351.

5. The Divisional Engineer
   Highways construction and maintenance,
   Edappadi.

6. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court of Madras

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN J.

nl

WP Crl. No. 2277 of 2026

20-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis