WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.11.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

Cont.P.No.3757 of 2026

Case QR

CNR:{HCMA011869432026}

G.Rani
W/o. (Late) M. Govindraj
No.3/55, Readindroom Street
Varadharajapuram, Karigiri Village,
Katpadi Taluk 632 106
Vellore District

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. P.S.Leela Alex, IAS
   The District Collector
   Vellore District, Vellore

2. D.Suresh
   The Revenue Divisional Officer
   Vellore, Vellore District

3. Jagandeswaran
   The Tahsildar
   Taluk Officer, Katpadi Taluk,
   Vellore District

Respondents(s)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

Prayer : Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, seeking to punish the respondents herein for non-compliance and willful disobedience of the order dated 10.12.2020 passed in W.P.No.16670 of 2020.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Govindasamy

For Respondents : Mr.L.Gokulraj
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER
(Order of the Court was made by
G.Arul Murugan, J)

This contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 10.12.2020 made in W.P.No.16770 of 2020.

2. This Court, by order dated 10.12.2020, disposed of the writ petition directing the Tahsildar, fourth respondent, to resort to due process of law for causing eviction of petitioner from the land in question adhering to principles of natural justice and to complete the same within a period of ten weeks.

3. It is admitted by learned counsel for petitioner that petitioner was evicted from the property in question in the year 2021 itself. However, he contends that when such an eviction was done in the year

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2021, the authorities have not followed the due process of law, as directed by this Court.

4. At the outset, the contempt petition, which has been filed after a lapse of six years, is not maintainable. Further, when this Court had directed the authorities to take proceedings to evict petitioner within a period of ten weeks by following the due process of law and when petitioner was evicted from the property in question in the year 2021 itself, petitioner did not have any grievance. While the facts remain so, now, after the lapse of six years, petitioner has come up with the present contempt petition alleging violation of the directions issued by this Court contending that due process was not followed.

5. In our considered opinion, the contempt petition is not maintainable as petitioner has already been evicted from the property in the year 2021 itself. Accordingly, the contempt petition stands dismissed.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)

17.11.2026

kpl

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

(kpl)

Cont.P.No.3757 of 2026

17.11.2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis