



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 09-06-2026**

WEB COPY

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN**

**WP CrI. No. 696 of 2025**

Parimala  
W/o.Vadivelan,  
No.22, J Block, Jai Bheem Nagar,  
Sadaramangala, Kadugodi Plantation,  
Bangalore - 560 067.

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,  
Tirupattur District, Tirupattur.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
Tirupattur Taluk Police Station,  
Tirupattur District.
3. Umapathi  
S/o.Valli Kannan,  
No.691, 2nd Cross Street,  
Ambedkar Nagar,  
Viginapura, Bangalore - 16.

..Respondents

**Prayer :** Writ Petition (Criminal) filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 1 and 2 Respondents to give police protection to the petitioner and her plot the property situated in survey No.63/1 Sakthi Nagar, Plot No.2, extended 12011/2 sq.ft. Koneri Kuppam Village, Tirupattur Taluk, Tirupattur District and safe guard from the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents based on the petitioner complaint dated on 22.07.2025



WEB COPY



For Petitioner:

Mr.T. Saravanan

For Respondents:

Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar

Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu  
(Criminal Side) for R1 & R2

### **ORDER**

This Writ Petition (Criminal) has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner and her property comprised in Survey No.63/1, to an extent of 2102 ½ sq.ft, situated at Sakthi Nagar, Plot No.2, Koneri Kuppam, Tirupattur Taluk.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side) appearing for the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respondents. Perused the materials available on record.

3. The petitioner is none other than the sister of the third respondent herein. Both are residing at Bangalore. However, the petitioner has sought for police protection for her life and her property comprised in Survey No.63/1, to an extent of 2102 ½ sq.ft, situated at Sakthi Nagar, Plot No.2, Koneri Kuppam, Tirupattur Taluk.

4. On instruction, the learned Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side) appearing for the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respondents submitted that, so far, no complaint has been received from the petitioner. Even according to the



petitioner, the complaint was sent only by post. The said property is lying vacant and no one is residing there. Both the petitioner and the third respondent are residing at Bangalore.

5. In view of the above, the prayer sought for in this petition cannot be considered. Thus, the writ petition lacks merit and is liable to be dismissed. Accordingly, this Writ Petition (Criminal) stands dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to lodge a fresh complaint on a fresh cause of action. No costs.

**09-06-2026**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

LPP

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,  
Tirupattur District, Tirupattur.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Tirupattur Taluk Police Station,  
Tirupattur District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 696 of 2



**G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.**

**LPP**

**WP CrI. No. 696 of 2025**

**09-06-2026**