



Tr.C.M.P.No.894 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.03.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mrs.JUSTICE R.KALAIMATHI

Tr.C.M.P.No.894 of 2025

and

C.M.P.No.20615 of 2025

Girija

... Petitioner

Vs

Jegadheesh

... Respondent

PRAYER: Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Section 24 of Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw the H.M.O.P.No.78 of 2025 pending on the file of the Sub-Court at Chidambaram and transfer the same to the Sub-Court at Mayiladuthurai.

For Petitioner	: Mr.B.Jawahar
For Respondent	: Mr.R.Rajesh

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent.



Tr.C.M.P.No.894 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. This Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is taken out by the wife / respondent in H.M.O.P.No.78 of 2025 on the file of the Subordinate Court at Chidambaram and seeking to transfer the same to the file of the Subordinate Court at Mayiladuthurai.

3. H.M.O.P.No.78 of 2025 was filed by the husband for divorce under Section 13(1)(i-a) (i-b) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

4. Petitioner/wife would aver that she is residing at Mayiladuthurai and she finds it difficult to travel to Chidambaram for every hearing.

5. The principles regarding transfer petitions, more specifically in the matters of matrimonial cases are well settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the following cases:-

“i. In AIR 2000 SC 3512 (1) (Mona Aresh Goel vs. Aresh Satya Goel), when the wife pleaded that she was unable to bear the traveling expenses and even to travel alone and stay at Bombay, the Supreme Court ordered transfer of proceedings.

ii. In 2000 (10) SCC 304 (Geeta Heera Vs.



Tr.C.M.P.No.894 of 2025

Harish Chander Heera), the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that where the petitioner's wife has pleaded lack of money, the same has to be considered.

iii. In Lalita A. Ranga Vs. Ajay Champalal Ranga reported in (2009) 9 SCC 355 , wherein the wife, who was having small child and she was finding it difficult to travel from Jaipur to Bombay, and the Hon'ble Apex Court accepting her request ordered the transfer petition in favour of the wife transferring the transfer petition from Family Court at Bandra in Mumbai to the Family Court at Jaipur."

6. On perusal of short and long cause title in H.M.O.P.No.78 of 2025, it appears that she is resident of Mayiladuthurai District (Pappakudi, Manalmedu Post).

7. In consideration of the above said details, the request of the petitioner herein / wife appears to be based on justifiable cause and accordingly, this Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is allowed. Sequel to this, H.M.O.P.No.78 of 2025 pending on the file of the Sub-Court, Chidambaram is withdrawn and transferred to the file of the Sub-Court, Mayiladuthurai. The the Sub-Court, Mayiladuthurai, on receipt of the records to try and dispose of the matter in accordance with law at the



Tr.C.M.P.No.894 of 2025

earliest. No Costs. Consequently, connected Civil Miscellaneous

Petition is closed.

16.03.2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
ssn

To

1. The Subordinate Court, Chidambaram.
2. The Subordinate Court, Mayiladuthurai.
3. The Section Officer,
V.R.Section, High Court,
Madras.



WEB COPY



Tr.C.M.P.No.894 of 2025

R.KALAIMATHI, J.,

ssn

Tr.C.M.P.No.894 of 2025
and
C.M.P.No.20615 of 2025

16.03.2026