WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.10.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,

CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

REV.APLW No. 145 of 2026

CNR:{HCMA011786332026}

1. Vaishnavi Jayakumar
D/o. Saranya, Old 5/1 Venugopal
Avenue, Chetpet Chennai 31

Petitioner

Vs

1. The Election Commission Of India
Rep. By The Chief Election Commissioner,
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashok Road New Delhi
110 001

2.The State Of Tamil Nadu,
Represented By Its Chief Secretary,
Secretariat, Fort St. George, Chennai -
600 009.

3.The Union Territory Of Puducherry,
Represented By Its Chief Secretary,
Government Of Puducherry, Secretariat,
Goubert Avenue, Puducherry - 605 001.
(r2, R3 Suo Motu Impleaded Vide Order
Dated 17.12.2025 Made In
Wp.34815/2025 By Cj, Gamj)

Respondent(s)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

PRAYER Review Application filed under Order XLVII rule 1 read with Section 114 CPC to Review the order dated 30.04.2026 passed in WP.No. 34815 of 2025 and consequently allow WP.No. 34815 of 2025 as prayed for.

For Petitioner: Mr.Yogeshwaran.A

For Respondent(s): Mr.Niranjan Rajagopalan
for R1
Mr.M.Guruprasad,
State Govt Pleader
for R2
Mr.R.Sreedhar
Addl Govt Pleader (Pondy)
for R3

* * * * *

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by G.Arul Murugan, J.)

The review application is filed under Order XLVII Rule 1 read with Section 114 CPC to review the order dated 30.04.2026 passed in WP No.34815 of 2025.

2. The writ petition filed by a person with disability seeking a direction was disposed of by this Court by the following order:

> *"19. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with the following directions:*
> *i.The respondents are directed to ensure that permanent ramps*

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

are constructed in the routinely notified polling booths, particularly in the educational institutions and public builidngs, in strict compliance with the provisions of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016.

ii.The Election Commission shall take expeditious and effective steps to ensure completing the process of making their websites easily accessible and usable by the persons with disabilities."

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the disposal of the writ petition, the Election Commission is interpreting the order only to comply with certain directions, but is not enforcing the full guidelines issued by it.

4. The limited power conferred upon Courts exercising review jurisdiction is well settled as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Malleeswari vs. K.Suguna, 2025 SCC OnLine SC 1927***.

5. The arguments raised by learned counsel for the petitioner that the Election Commission is not complying with the order as was directed by interpreting the order in a different manner will not fall within the parameters that leave scope for review.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

In our considered opinion, there is no merit in the review application. Accordingly, the same is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI, CJ.) (G.ARUL MURUGAN, J.)
30.10.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No

sra

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Chief Election Commissioner,
The Election Commission Of India
Nirvachan Sadan, Ashok Road New Delhi
110 001

2.The Chief Secretary,
State Of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat, Fort St. George, Chennai -
600 009.

3.The Chief Secretary, ,
Union Territory Of Puducherry,
Government Of Puducherry, Secretariat,
Goubert Avenue, Puducherry - 605 001.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN, J.

(sra)

Rev. Aplw. No.145 of 2026

30.10.2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis