



WEB COPY

WP No. 28446 of 2024



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 28-01-2026**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE**

**WP No. 28446 of 2024**

Dhanakoti Ammal,  
W/o Vijayam Naidu,  
Nadu Theru, T. Palayam Village,  
Kurinjpadi Taluk, Cuddalore District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1.The Revenue Tahsildar,  
Kurinjpadi, Kurinjpadi Taluk,  
Cuddalore District.

2.The Natham Tahsildar,  
Kurinjpadi, Kurinjpadi Taluk,  
Cuddalore District.

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:-**Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for an issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to measure Old S. No 176/1 9768 sq.ft or 22 ½ cents in T.Palayam Village, Kurinjpadi Taluk, Cuddalore District as per petitioner's sale deed dated 15.06.1974 and issue fresh patta to the petitioner for the entire extent.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Baskar

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Arumugam  
Govt. Advocate For R1 and R2



## **ORDER**

WEB COPY

This writ petition has been filed seeking to direct the respondents to measure the property more fully described in the prayer to this writ petition as per the petitioner's sale deed dated 15.06.1974 and thereafter to issue patta in favour of the petitioner for the entire extent of the land.

2.The case of the petitioner is that even though the sale deed dated 15.06.1974 standing in the name of the petitioner discloses that the extent of the land owned by the petitioner is 22 ½ cents, the respondents have issued patta for a lesser extent.

3.The petitioner has given a representation to the respondents seeking for rectification of the patta. Since the same has not been considered till date, the petitioner has filed this writ petition.

4.A counter has been filed by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent stating that the petitioner has not provided any documentary evidence before the respondents to prove that the petitioner is entitled for a larger extent of land as prayed for in this writ petition.



WEB COPY

5.Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the sale deed produced by the petitioner discloses the correct extent of land held by the petitioner.

6.In view of the dispute raised by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent through their counter, this Court is of the view that no prejudice would be caused to the respondents if the petitioner's representation seeking for rectification of patta is considered on merits and in accordance with law, by providing an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner to submit all the supporting documents in support of the petitioner's claim as prayed for in this writ petition.

7.This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's application.

8.For the foregoing reasons, this Court directs the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to pass final orders on merits and in accordance with law on the petitioner's representation dated 10.11.2023, seeking for rectification of the patta for the property more fully described in the prayer to this writ petition, by disclosing the extent of petitioner's property as 22 ½ cents as per the sale deed dated



15.06.1974, which is standing in the name of the petitioner, after providing one personal hearing to the petitioner, within a period of twelve (12) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9.with the aforesaid direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

**28-01-2026**

rst

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WP No. 28446 of 2024



To

1. The Revenue Tahsildar,  
Kurinjipadi, Kurinjipadi Taluk,  
Cuddalore District.

2. The Natham Tahsildar,  
Kurinjipadi, Kurinjipadi Taluk,  
Cuddalore District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 28446 of 2024



**ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.**  
rst

WP No. 28446 of 2024

28-01-2026