WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-11-2026

CORAM

Case QR

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.KARTHIKEYAN

H.C.P.No.1340 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA011669812026}

Rekha ..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
   Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
   Fort St. George, Secretariat,
   Chennai – 600 009.

2. The District Magistrate and District Collector,
   Salem, Salem District.

3. The Superintendent of Police,
   Salem, Salem District.

4. The Superintendent of Police,
   Central Police, Salem,
   Salem District.

5. State rep. by its
   The Inspector of Police,
   Thevoor Police Station,
   Salem District. ..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Habeas Corpus Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, in the nature of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records, relating to the petitioner's son detention under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 vide detention order, dated 06.07.2026 on the file of the second respondent herein

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

made in proceedings C.M.P.No.23/Drug Offender/C2/2026, quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioners son namely Devendiran, S/o.Sakthivel, aged 19 years before this Court and set the petitioner's son at liberty from detention, now the petitioners son detained at Central Prison, Salem.

For Petitioner(s): Ms.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Made by A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA J.)

The petitioner, who is the wife of the detenu Devendiran, aged 19 years, s/o. Sakthivel, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent in C.M.P.No.23/Drug Offender/C2/2026, dated 06.07.2026 branding the detenu as a "Drug Offender" under Section 2(e) of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the detaining authority, while relying on another case stating it to be a similar case, inferred that there is a real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail. He further

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

submitted that the similar case order relied upon by the detaining authority is the order passed by the learned Special Judge, Special Court for EC Act cases, Salem, in Crl.M.P.No.5 of 2020, dated 04.01.2020, in which the quantity of contraband involved was two kilograms and the petitioner therein was enlarged on statutory/mandatory bail. However, in the ground case against the detenu, the quantity of contraband involved is twelve kilograms and the investigation in the ground case was at the nascent stage on the date of passing of the detention order. Therefore, the order relied on by the detaining authority cannot be treated as one passed in a similar case. Hence, the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents and perused the materials available on record.

4. On a perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the case relied upon by the detaining authority is not similar to the case of the detenu herein. Therefore, the subjective satisfaction arrived at by the detaining authority is a mere *ipse dixit.* Further, the present case is squarely covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Alagu vs The State of Tamil Nadu,* reported in *2026 SCC OnLine SC 976.* Therefore, we are inclined to interfere with the impugned order.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. In the result, this Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in C.M.P.No.23/Drug Offender/C2/2026, dated 06.07.2026, passed by the second respondent, is set aside. The detenu, viz., Devendiran, son of Sakthivel, aged about 19 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(A.D.J.C., J.) (B.K., J.)
17-11-2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ham

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
   Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
   Fort St. George, Chennai – 9.

2. The District Magistrate and District Collector,
   Salem District, Salem.

3. The Superintendent of Police,
   Salem District, Salem.

4. The Superintendent of Prison,
   Central Prison, Salem.

5. The Inspector of Police,
   Thevoor Police Station,
   Salem District.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

6. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.
AND
B.KARTHIKEYAN, J.

ham

H.C.P.No.1340 of 2026

17-11-2026
4/4

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis