



CRP No. 3756 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-03-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 3756 of 2025

AND

CMP NO. 19995 OF 2025

1. B.Suresh Raj
S/o. K.A.Barath Kumar, No.58/99,
Pillaiyar Koil Street, Arumbakkam,
Chennai - 600 106.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. M.Meera
W/o. C.N.Manohar Reddy, No.48
Bazaar Street, Villivakkam, Chennai -
600 049.

2.S.Munirathinam
S/o.S.Subramania Reddy, No.A1-110,
4th Street, Shanthi Colony, Anna Nagar,
Chennai - 600 040.

3.R.Chandra Gupta
No.40, AK Block, TAS Enclave, 10th
Main Road, Shanthi Colony, Anna
Nagar, Chennai - 600 040.

4.R.Thejesvini
D/o. R.Chandra Gupta, No.40 AL
Block, TAS Enclave, 10th Main Road,
Shanthi Colony, Anna Nagar, Chennai -
600 040.

Respondent(s)



CRP No. 3756 of 2025

PRAYER

To set aside the docket order dated 02-07-2025 passed in O.S.No.189/2015 and consequently expunge the report dated 28-08-2024 in O.S.No.189/2015 on the file of the District Munsif Court at Tambaram

CRP No. 3756 of 2025

For Respondent(s): M/s.T.V.Vineeth
Kumar-ms/175/1990
G.Rajeswary
M.Ajay Kumar
B.Meganthan For R1
R2 - Vacated
R-3 R.CHANDRA GUPTA
No.40, AK Block, TAS Enclave,
10th Main Road, Shanthi Colony,
Anna Nagar, Chennai - 600 040.
R4 - Gone Abroad
Pvt. Notice

R2 - No Such Person
Rr3 And 4 - Tracking Sheet And
Post Receipt Filed

ORDER

This matter is listed under the caption for 'being mentioned' at the instance of learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. It is brought to the notice of this Court that some typographical error in para No. 4 & 6 of the order dated 02.03.2026 and same may be replaced as follows:

4. After completion of trial, on the basis of the written submissions filed by the plaintiff, the defendants had filed the application in I.A No. 5 of 2025 to send the documents for forensic examination for comparison of signature found in the Ex.B1 receipt with other documents, but two documents alone have been sent for comparison and report has also been received from the expert.



6. *The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that originally the petitioner had requested to the send the receipt and other documents for forensic examination to compare the signature in the Power of Attorney and receipt, but the trial Court has not sent all the documents for expert opinion, only two documents have been sent for comparison and obtained the report. However 12 days after the execution of sale deed, power of attorney was cancelled. The receipt was also issued on the date of execution of the sale deed. Therefore, those documents have also to be sent for comparison of the signature of the first respondent in the document.*

3. The Registry is directed to carryout above correction and issue fresh order copy to the parties.

13-03-2026

pbl
Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes
Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

CRP No. 3756 of 2025



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.
pbl

**CRP No. 3756 of 2025
AND CMP NO. 19995
OF 2025**

13-03-2026