



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

**CRP No. 3043 of 2025
and CMP.No.17066 of 2025**

Jai Hindu Leather Products
Rep. by Paras, Old No.185 Broadway, 1st Floor,
(Opp.Mannady Street, Above Hotel Excellant),
Chennai - 600 108.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Musaafirkhana E Mariyambai (trust)
Rep. by its Anjumn E Najmidawoodi Bohra Jamat,
Sidhpur, Rep. by its Authorised Person,
Mohammed Yusuf Madraswala, No.94 Boradway
Chennai - 600 108.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, praying to set aside the order dated 21-06-2025 made in IA.No.1 of 2025 in RLTOP.No.408 of 2024, passed by the XV Small Causes Court, Chennai.

For Petitioner(s):

MR.B.JAWAHAR FOR MR.Amar Dineshbhai
Pandiya

For Respondent(s):

MR.S.Ramesh Kumar

ORDER

The Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the impugned order passed in I.A. No. 01 of 2025. The petitioner/tenant filed the said application



before the trial Court seeking a copy of the trust deed pertaining to the respondent/landlord, contending that the respondent is not competent to maintain the suit in respect of the property. Accordingly, he disputed the right and title of the respondent.

2. The said application was dismissed by the Trial judge on the ground that the landlord-tenant relationship was not denied and, therefore, production of the trust deed was unwarranted. Aggrieved by the same, the present revision has been preferred.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, as on date, the respondent is not the lawful owner of the property and, therefore, is not entitled to receive rent. He further submitted that the petitioner has a valid objection regarding the respondent's right over the property and hence seeks a copy of the trust deed.

4. Per contra, the learned counsel for the respondent submits that the petitioner has been a tenant since around 1960 and has been regularly paying rent. At this stage, disputing the locus standi of the respondent/landlord is not tenable nor he is entitled to challenge the title.

5. In view of the above, this Court finds no reason to interfere with the



order passed by the Trial Court. Accordingly, the revision is dismissed as devoid of merits. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

08-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MPA
To
XV Small Causes Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 3043 of 2



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

MPA

**CRP No. 3043 of 2025
and CMP.No.17066 of 2025**

08-04-2026