WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24.11.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

CONT P No. 3663 of 2025
CNR:{HCMA011548552025}

1.Chidambaram
S/o.Pechaianna Thevar,
No.16/174, Sulur Village,
Sulur Taluk, Trichy Main Road,
Coimbatore-641 402

2.Subramanian
S/o.Pechaianna Thevar,
No.11/15, Palani Andavar Kovil Street,
Irugur, Sulur Taluk,
Coimbatore-641 103

Petitioners

Vs

1.K.R.Renuka
The Executive Officer
Irugur Town Panchayat,
Market Road, Irugur,
Coimbatore-103

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2.S.Saranya
The Thasildar
Sulur Taluk Office
Sulur
Coimbatore District- 641 402

Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act to punish the 1st respondent and 2nd respondent for wilfully disobeying and violating the order dated 30.07.2024 of this Hon'ble Court passed in W.P.No.20871 of 2024.

For Petitioners: Mr. N.Kathiresan

For Respondents Mr. M.Roshan Atiq
Addl. Government Pleader

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by *G.Arul Murugan,J.*)

This contempt petition alleges non-compliance of the order dated 30.7.2024 passed in W.P.No.20871 of 2024.

2. This Court, while disposing of the writ petition, directed the authorities to conduct a survey, and based on the survey report, either to close the proceedings or proceed with the removal of the encroachment. It was also made clear in the order that all parties

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

concerned would be at liberty to assail the survey report, if so advised, in a manner known to law. The order further stipulated that the removal of encroachment shall be restricted to the public pathway alone and shall not extend to private land.

3. Today, when the contempt petition was taken up for hearing, learned Additional Government Pleader, on instructions, submitted that survey was conducted by the jurisdictional Tahsildar on 27.5.2026, wherein an encroachment of 19 square meters on the public pathway was identified. Accordingly, the entire encroachment of 19 square meters on the public pathway was removed on 23.6.2026.

4. The removal of the encroachment by the authorities on 23.6.2026 is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner. However, he contends that the entire encroachment has not been removed and he finds fault with the survey conducted. In view of the liberty already granted in the order referred to supra, this court is satisfied that the order of this court has been complied with.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Accordingly, this contempt petition is closed, leaving it open to the petitioner to challenge the survey report in a manner known to law, if so advised. No costs.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI, CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)

24.11.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
bbr

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

bbr

Cont.P.No.3663 of 2025

24.11.2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis