



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 20302 of 2025

1. K. R. Kumar
2. K. Jagadesh

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by The Inspector of Police,
Economics Offences Wing (EOW)
Thiruvallur,
(Crime No. 1 of 2025)

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioners/accused herein on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent or on their appearance before the concerned court in Crime No.1 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.Parthiban T
For Respondent(s):	Mr.Dhileepan Government Advocate (Criminal side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Section 120 B, 409, 408, 468, 471, 477A, 420 r/w 34 of I.P.C., in Crime No.1 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners joining hands with other accused, criminally misappropriated funds belonging to the defacto-complainant / Co-operative credit society to the tune of Rs.2,14,90,673/- during



the period from 31.03.2015 to 31.05.2023 by creating false documents, falsifying accounts and thereby cheated the society. The specific allegation against these petitioners is that they received a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- from the society without possessing valid grain guarantor mortgage documents. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further stated that, in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 27.10.2025 directing these petitioners to deposit Rs.10,00,000/-, they were able to deposit only Rs.4,00,000/-. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and that they are ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there are about twenty-four accused involved in this case and are alleged to have misappropriated Rs.2,14,90,673. He further submitted that the occurrences took place between 31.03.2015 to 31.05.2023, during which the petitioners allegedly created false documents and falsified accounts. Specifically, he contended that custodial interrogation of the



petitioners is necessary at this juncture. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side), the amount misappropriated is Rs.2,14,90,673/- and twenty-four persons are involved in this case. The submission of the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) that the custody is very much necessary to recover the amount misappropriated and identify the full extent of the petitioner's wrongful act cannot be faulted. Therefore, this Court finds no merit in this application. Accordingly, this Criminal Original petition is dismissed.

23-03-2026
(2/3)

ep

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Economics Offences Wing (EOW)
Thiruvallur,

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 20302 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

ep

CRL OP No. 20302 of 2025

**23-03-2026
(2/3)**