



CRP No. 3151 of 2023



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

**CRP No. 3151 of 2023
C.M.P.Nos.19497 & 19499 of 2023**

1. Shabana Parvin
2. Shayina Parvin
3. Yusufdeen
4. Almas Mohamed

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Nasrin Fathima
2. Thameem Ansari
3. Sabiya Bee

Respondent(s)

PRAYER:- Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, pleased to strike off the complaint in D.V.C.No. 92/2021 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Puducherry.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Rajarajan



For Respondent(s): M/s.Y.Shoukath Ali Mohamed
for R1

ORDER

The Civil Revision petition is filed seeking to strike off the complaint preferred by the first respondent under the provisions of Domestic Violence Act.

2.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the respondents 1 and 2 admittedly had matrimonial home at Dubai and they never lived along with the petitioners who are the sister-in-laws and husband of the sister-in-laws of the first respondent. Therefore, according to the learned counsel for the petitioners in the absence of domestic relationship the Magistrate ought not to have entertained the complaint against the petitioners.

3.The Full Bench of this Court in the case of *Arul Daniel and Others*



Versus Suganya reported in (2022) SCC Online Mad 5435 held that any person

aggrieved by the process issued by the Magistrate can go before the very same

Magistrate and raise preliminary objections with regard to the issues like

existence of a shared household/ domestic relationship etc., If any order is

passed, the aggrieved person can also take recourse to an appeal under Section

29 of the Domestic Violence Act. The relevant portion reads as follows:-

87(vii). *As there is no issuance of process as contemplated under Section 204, Cr.P.C. in a proceeding under the D.V. Act, the principle laid down in Adalat Prasad v. Rooplal Jindal ((2004) 7 SCC 338) that a process, under Section 204, Cr.P.C, once issued cannot be reviewed or recalled, will not apply to a proceeding under the D.V. Act. Consequently, it would be open to an aggrieved respondent (s) to approach the Magistrate and raise the issue of maintainability and other preliminary issues. Issues like the existence of a shared household/domestic relationship etc., which form the jurisdictional basis for entertaining an application under Section 12, can be determined as a preliminary issue, in appropriate cases. Any person aggrieved by such an order may also take recourse to an appeal under Section 29 of the D.V. Act for effective redress (See V.K. Vijayalekshmi Amma v. Bindu V., (2010) 87 AIC 367). This would stem the deluge of petitions challenging the maintainability of an application under Section 12 of the D.V. Act, at the threshold before this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution.*




4. In view of the availability of alternative remedy before Magistrate, as held by Full Bench in *Arul Daniel* case, this Court is not inclined to exercise supervisory power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Further, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Virudhunagar Nadargal Dharma Paripalana Shabha Vs Tuticorin Educational Society* reported in *MANU/SC/1365/2019* held that availability of alternative remedy before regular Courts, is near total bar for exercise of supervisory power by High Court. Hence, I am not inclined to interfere in revision.

5. Accordingly, the Civil Revision petition stands dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned Magistrate for getting appropriate remedy in terms of order passed in *Arul Daniel* case cited supra.

6. Having regard to the fact the complaint preferred under Section 12 of Domestic Violence Act is predominantly civil in nature, this Court is inclined to dispense with the personal appearance of the petitioners before the learned Magistrate unless it is absolutely necessary. Accordingly, the C.M.P.No.19499 of 2023 is ordered and connected C.M.P.No.19497 of 2023 is closed. No costs.

02-01-2026

ep
Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order



Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

WEB COPY

CRP No. 3151 of 2023





CRP No. 3151 of 2023



To
The Judicial Magistrate III,
Puducherry.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 3151 of 2023



S.SOUNTHAR J.

ep

**CRP No. 3151 of 2023
C.M.P.Nos.19497 & 19499 of 2023**

02-01-2026