



WEB COPY

OSA No. 207 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V. KARTHIKEYAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

OSA No. 207 of 2023

and

CMP No.24554 of 2023

Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution
Corporation Ltd (TANGEDCO),
Rep.by its Chief Engineer/ Projects-I,
NPKRR Maaligai, Old No. 800 (New No.144)
Anna Salai, Chennai - 600002.

..Appellant(s)

Vs

1. M/s.Engineering Projects (India) Ltd,
(A Govt. of India Enterprise),
Head Office at Core-3, SCOPE Complex,
7 Institutional Area, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi 110 003.
2. Dr.H.C.Visvesvaraya
Presiding Arbitrator, "Chandrika" at 15th Cross,
63-64, East Park Road, Malleswaram,
Bangalore 560 003.
3. R. Subramanian
Arbitrator, Former ADG, CPWD 1553A,
Sector B-1, Vansant Kunj,
New Delhi 110 070.
4. P. Arumugam
Arbitrator, Kaisar Illam, 7/3, Jeeva Nagar,
Mangalam Road, Tirupur 641 604.

..Respondent(s)



PRAYER: Appeal filed under Order XXXVI Rule 1 of O.S.Rules r/w clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the Order passed by Learned Judge in OP.No. 731 of 2013 confirming the Arbitral Award dated 06.02.2013 and the Arbitral Award dated 06.02.2013, passed by the Arbitral Tribunal, in so far as the same relates to grant of extension of time in favour of the first respondent under Issue 11C2 and awarding certain amounts in favour of the first respondent under Issue Nos.11C3, 11C4, 11C5, 11C7, 11C9, 11C10, 11C12, 11C15, and 11C17 and disallowing partly certain amounts in favour of the Appellant herein under Counter Claim Issue Nos.11R7, 11R10, 11R14, 11R15, and 11R26 and rejecting the counter claims made by the Appellant herein in so far as it relates to the counter claim issue Nos. 11R1-11R6, 11R9, 11R16-11R25, 11R27 and 11R28 and adjusting the amounts awarded in favour of the Appellant herein under issue 11R8, wrongly as against issue 11C5 in favour of the first Respondent as prayed for.

For Appellant(s): Mr.N.C.Ramesh, Senior Counsel
for Mr.T.Sivaprakasam
G.Dhyaneswar

For Respondent(s): M/s.Hema Srinivasan

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by C.V.Karthikeyan J.)

The learned counsel for the appellant had filed a memo stating that the matter had been settled out of Court and seeks permission to withdraw the Appeal. Additionally, he has also addressed a letter to the Registrar Judicial dated 16.02.2026 seeking to withdraw the Appeal.



2. In view of the fact that the parties had settled the issues out of the Court, the appeal stands dismissed as settled out of Court. The appellant is entitled for refund of the Court fees in accordance with the Rules. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

(C.V.K.,J.) (K.B.,J.)
24-02-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

sli



WEB COPY

OSA No. 207 of 2



**C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.
AND
K.KUMARESH BABU, J.**

sli

OSA No. 207 of 2023

24-02-2026