



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 3010 of 2025 & CRP NO. 3013 OF 2025

AND

CMP NO. 16896 OF 2025,CMP NO. 16901 OF 2025

M.Jeffri

S/o. G.Maria Manoharan

Plot No. 958, Door No. 41, 40th Street, TNHB

Colony, Korattur, Chennai 80

..Petitioner(s) in
both C.R.P.s

Vs

S.Aneetha Annie Teresa

Door No. 5/9, 1st Floor, Rainbow Vikas

Apartment, Varadarajulu Street, Near Sana

Nursery and Primary School, Egmore,

Chennai 008

..Respondent(s) in
both C.R.P.s

PRAYER IN CRP No. 3010 of 2025

Civil Revision Petition filed under Art.227 of Constitution of India, praying to set aside the order dated 29/05/2025 passed by the VII Additional Family Court, Chennai in I.A. No. 3 of 2024 in OP No. 2871 of 2023.

PRAYER IN CRP No. 3013 of 2025

Civil Revision Petition filed under Art.227 of Constitution of India, praying to set aside the order dated 29/05/2025 passed by the VII Additional Family Court, Chennai in I.A. No. 2 of 2024 in OP No. 2871 of 2023.

**In both CRPs**

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Rishi S Ahuja

WEB COPY For Respondent(s): Ms.N. Devi

COMMON ORDER

Challenging the impugned common order passed in I.A.Nos.2 and 3 of 2024 in O.P.No.2871 of 2023 by the learned VII Additional Principal Family Court, Chennai, the Revision Petitioner husband preferred these Civil Revision Petitions.

2. Before the trial court, the Revision Petitioner filed an application seeking temporary custody of their children Jessica Linda and Jayden Antony from every Saturday starting 10.00 a.m. to Sunday 07.00 p.m. or any other day. and also to allow him to speak with the children every alternate day between 04.00 .m. to 04.30.p.m. The said application was objected by the respondent wife and on hearing both sides, the trial judge has partly allowed the application by permitting the petitioner to visit their minor children twice a month (.i.e.) on the first and third Saturday of every month from 10.00 a.m. to 12.00 noon at the Child Care Centre attached to the Family Court, Chennai and to speak them through phone on every 1st and 4th Sunday between 04.00 p.m. to 04.30 p.m. Aggrieved over the said order, the revision petitioner husband had preferred these civil revision petitions.



3. The learned counsel for revision petitioner husband would submit that on the first and third Saturday, the school for the children is running. Therefore, he wanted to modify the same as second and fourth Saturday and also contended that aged parents wanted to live with the children. Therefore, on those days, he wanted to take the children to his home and he inclined to have the custody of the children between 10.00 a.m. to 04.00 p.m. on the second and fourth Saturday, probably they would not have any special class in the school on those days. So, he wanted to take children to his home, wherein aged father, 72 years old grandfather also wanted to join with the children. Before separation, all were lived in that house. Therefore, he prayed modification before the trial court, but it was not considered. Further, he wanted to speak with the children even daily, for which, he seeks modification. But, the trial court failed to consider the same. Hence, he prayed to set aside the findings of trial judge.

4. The learned counsel for respondent would submit that both children are studying in school and they felt very embarrassing to see their father. At the most, as a father, as per the visitation right, he was granted permission by the trial court. However, he wanted to modify the order. Hence, she raised objections.

5. Considering both side submissions, the fact reveals that revision



petitioner is the father of minor children, girl is aged 13 years and boy is aged 9 years. Both of them were studying in the school in the respective 8th and 4th Std.

For the past 5 years, the revision petitioner and the respondent have got separated. Admittedly, children were in custody of respondent wife. Both were residing at Chennai. But, before separation, for nearly about 10 years all were living together at Korattur at the revision petitioner's house. Now, as a father, he wanted to visit minor children. But the trial court has granted visitation right for first and second Saturday of every month. Admittedly, both days are working days. Therefore, he sought for modification. The reason assigned by the revision petitioner is justifiable one. Hence, this court is inclined to modify condition instead of first and second Saturday, the second and fourth Sunday of every month is ordered to be permitted to visit minor children.

6. Apart from that, the revision petitioner husband also wanted to have the children in festival days, more particularly, Christmas and birthdays of children. As a father, he is entitled for the same. So, by giving prior intimation to the respondent wife, the revision petitioner is directed to take children for Christmas as well as Birthdays of the children for two or three hours.

7. Furthermore, the revision petitioner husband wanted to take the children to his home. To that effect, the counsel for respondent raised objections. But, admittedly, nearly about 10 years, all were resided together,



wherein aged grandfather is also residing and no hurdle would cause by taking children to the grandfather's house, wherein the revision petitioner is residing.

So, the permission is granted to the revision petitioner to take children on the second and fourth Sunday of every month from 10.00 a.m. to 04.00 p.m. to his house. With the said modification, these Civil Revision Petitions are disposed of. With regard to the visitation right at the school functions, as a father, he is entitled to visit and attend the school function without disturbing their special classes, probably during the school hours. No costs. Consequently, connected civil miscellaneous petitions are closed.

25-03-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

RPP

To

The Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRP Nos. 3010 & 3013 of 2



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.

RPP

**CRP No. 3010 of 2025 & CRP NO. 3013 OF 2025
AND
CMP NO. 16896 OF 2025, CMP NO. 16901 OF 2025**

25-03-2026