



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.16910 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.07.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.16910 of 2026

Ajithkumar

... Petitioner(s)

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
V1 Villivakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No.216 of 2026

... Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail concerned in Crime No.216 of 2026 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.T.K.S.Gandhi

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.05.2026 for the alleged offences under Section 194(3) of BNSS and subsequently altered to Section 80 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No.216 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner demanded dowry from the family of the deceased. On account of such dowry harassment, the deceased is alleged to have committed suicide. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the marriage between the petitioner and the deceased was solemnised on 28.01.2026 and that the deceased committed suicide on 07.05.2026. It is the specific submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that, as per the postmortem certificate, there were no external injuries on the body of the deceased except the ligature mark found on her neck, which fact was not seriously disputed by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side). Hence, he prayed for the grant of bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and, on instructions, submitted that a major portion of the investigation has already been completed. He further submitted that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.



WEB COPY

5. Though the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature, considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, the fact that the petitioner has no bad antecedents, the fact that, as per the postmortem certificate, no external injuries were found on the body of the deceased except the ligature mark on her neck, and also the fact that a major portion of the investigation has already been completed, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned XIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, and subject to the following conditions:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.16910 c



[e] on breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions had been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court itself, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]***;


[f] if the petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR may be registered under Section 269 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

03.07.2026

skr

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

1. The learned XIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore

2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal

3. The Inspector of Police, V1 Villivakkam Police Station, Chennai.

4. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.16910 of 2026



C.KUMARAPPAN,J.

skr

Crl.O.P.No.16910 of 2026

03.07.2026