



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-01-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP No. 35070 of 2025

AND

CRL MP NO. 24631 OF 2025

R.Srinivasan
S/o.Ramakrishnan

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Mailam Police Station, Tindivanam Taluk,
Villupuram District.

2. Usha
W/o.Narayanan

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Criminal Original Petition filed under Sec.528 of B.N.S.S., 2023, to call for the records and quash the charge sheet in C.C.No. 111/2022 on the file of Judicial Magistrate II, Tindivanam.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. S.Shanmuga Raja for
M/s.Chennai Law Firm

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Santhosh,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for R1

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in C.C.No. 111 of 2022 on the file of Judicial Magistrate-II, Tindivanam.



2. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner sought permission of this Court to withdraw this Criminal Original Petition and he has also made an endorsement to that effect. However, he submitted that a direction may be issued to the concerned trial judge to complete the trial within a specified period and to dispose the case in C.C.No. 111 of 2022 within a time frame.

3. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for 1st respondent submitted that the trial had commenced and so far, three witnesses have been examined.

4. In view of the above submission and endorsement made by the learned counsel for petitioner, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The trial judge shall take every endeavour to dispose of the case in C.C.No. 111 of 2022 as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. While holding so, this Court is not oblivious of the judgment of a Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court, in the case of ***High Court Bar Association, Allahabad vs. State of Uttar Pradesh*** reported in (2024) 6 SCC 267, wherein, it was held that the constitutional courts, in the ordinary course, should refrain from fixing a time-bound schedule for the disposal of cases pending before any other court and that time limit can be fixed for disposal of cases only in exceptional circumstances. The relevant portion of the said decision for the sake of ready reference is reproduced below:



"47.3. Constitutional courts, in the ordinary course, should refrain from fixing a time-bound schedule for the disposal of cases pending before any other courts. Constitutional courts may issue directions for the time-bound disposal of cases only in exceptional circumstances. The issue of prioritising the disposal of cases should be best left to the decision of the courts concerned where the cases are pending."

(emphasis supplied by this Court)

Consequently, connected Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

02-01-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

RPP

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate – II, Tindivanam.
2. The Inspector of Police, Mailam Police Station,
Tindivanam Taluk, Villupuram District.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 35070 of 2



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA J.

RPP

**CRL OP No. 35070 of 2025
AND
CRL MP NO. 24631 OF 2025**

02-01-2026