



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 16284 of 2026

1. Perumal
2. Saran
3. Lakshmi

..Petitioners

Vs

State by
Inspector of Police,
Thimiri Police Station,
Ranipet District.
[Crime No.155 of 2026]

..Respondent


PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Cr.No.155 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:
For Respondent:

Mr.Thirumoorthy D
Ms.R.S.Indira
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 118(2), 318(1) and 351(3) of BNS [294(b), 326, 415 and 506(2) of IPC] in Crime No.155 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.




2. The case of the prosecution is that on 11.06.2026, a wordy quarrel broke out between the petitioners and the de facto complainant, which escalated into an assault wherein the petitioners caused injuries to the de facto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and submitted that there are no adverse antecedents against the petitioners. She further submitted that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital on 11.06.2026. However, she opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and noting that there are no adverse antecedents against the petitioners,



this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required at this stage. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Walajah** on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners 1 and 2 shall report before the respondent Police, daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks, and thereafter, as and when required for**



interrogation and the 3rd petitioner shall report before the respondent police, as and when required for interrogation;

WEB COPY

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

29-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VEDA

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate-I, Walajah.

2.Inspector of Police,
Thimiri Police Station,
Ranipet District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16284 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

VEDA

CRL OP No. 16284 of 2026

29-06-2026