



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP CrI. No. 1466 of 2026

Bharathi
S/o.Selvam,
No.4/3-B, Loorthupuram,
Pallippatti,
Dharmapuri.


..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dharmapuri,
Dharmapuri District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Harur,
Dharmapuri District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Bommidi Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.
4. Manikandan
S/o.Not known,
Jangalhalli Village,
P.Pallipattu post,
Pappireddipatti taluk,
Dharmapuri District.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ Petition Criminal is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, pleaded to issue Writ of Mandamus or any other order or direction in the nature of a writ, directing the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the petitioners representation dated 13.06.2026 and consequently direct the respondents 2 and 3 not to harass the petitioner in view of the false complaint received from the 4th respondent.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.E.Kannadasan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar,
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(CrI. Side)

Order

This Writ Petition Criminal has been filed to direct the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the petitioners representation dated 13.06.2026 and consequently direct the respondents 2 and 3 not to harass the petitioner in view of the false complaint received from the 4th respondent.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the respondent police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3.The learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) appearing for the respondent police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainant against the petitioner, current paper enquiry is pending on the file of the respondent police.


4.Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.



5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police have been harassing her under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquiry into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XIII of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Though the Sanhita empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.



8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.


9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 179 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.



10. With the above observations and direction, the Writ Petition Criminal stands disposed of. No costs.

WEB COPY

24-06-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

AH

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dharmapuri,
Dharmapuri District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Harur,
Dharmapuri District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Bommidi Police Station,
Dharmapuri District.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

WP Crl. No. 1466 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.

AH

WP Crl. No. 1466 of 2026

24-06-2026