



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 16047 of 2026

1. Praveenkumar @ Praveen
S/o. Sekar,
No. 3/66B, Poochikli,
Ganganeri Village,
Vellore Taluk, Vellore District - 635 809.
2. Arun
S/o. Annamalai Kumarasamy,
No. 74, Bajanai Kovil Street,
Kandhaneri Village, Pallikonda Taluk,
Vellore District - 635 809
3. Gowtham @ Babu
S/o. Jayavel,
No. 3/60, Mariamman Koil Street,
Kandhaneri Village, Vellore District - 635 809
4. Durai Raj @ Aakash
S/o. Anandan,
No. 3/60, Pillaiyar Kovil Street,
Kandhaneri Village, Anaicut Taluk,
Vellore District - 635 809
5. Jayavel @ Velu
S/o. Murugesan,
No.3/60, Mariyamman Kovil Street,
Kandhaneri Village, Anaicut Taluk,
Vellore District - 635 809

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep.by its, The Inspector of police,
Pallikonda Police Station,
Vellore District.
Cr.No.116 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)



PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to grant Anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.116 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s): MR. R.Barathkumar

For Respondent(s): MS.R.S.INDIRA,
GOVT.ADVOCATE (CRL.SIDE)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 115(2) and 118(1) of BNS, in Crime No.116 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that, during a village temple festival, the petitioners created a disturbance. In the course of the incident, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and his friends in filthy language and assaulted them with a knife and wooden stick, thereby causing injuries to the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and due to previous enmity, they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail



to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the alleged occurrence took place on 15.06.2026 and the injured was discharged from the hospital on 16.06.2026. She further submitted that the petitioners have no bad antecedents against them. Hence, she opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the totality of the circumstances of the cases, the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that the petitioners have no bad antecedents, this Court is of the firm view that, at this length of time, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from



the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.V, Vellore**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am and 5.30 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;



(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be

WEB COPY registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

25-06-2026

DRL

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate Court No.V,
Vellore.

2.The Inspector of police,
Pallikonda Police Station,
Vellore District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16047 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 16047 of 2026

25-06-2026