



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 15704 of 2026

1. Ettappan @ Praveen Raj
S/o. Raja,
No.324/21 A2, Near S T Basil School, North
Side, Mahendrapuri, Salem District.
2. Vellai Ponnu @ Ganesh @ Ganesan
S/o. Murugesan,
No.169, Ayyanthirumaligai, Kannakurichi Post,
Salem District.
3. Rubber Vinoth @ Vinoth @ Vinothkumar
S/o. Sakthivel,
No.70/2, Ayyanthirumaligai,
Kannakurichi Post, Salem District.
4. Sathish @ Sathish Kumar
S/o.Murukesan,
No.72/1, Ayyanthirumaligai, Mel Theru,
Kannakurichi, Salem District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kannankurichi Police Station,
Salem District.
Crime No.137 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest in crime No.137 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent and thus render justice.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):

Mr. K.Prathap

For Respondent(s):

MR.N.PALANIVEL,
GOVT.ADVOCATE (CRL.SIDE)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and 3(1) of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984 in Crime No.137 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that on 10.05.2026, a wordy altercation arose during the celebration of the election victory. When the defacto complainant intervened, the first petitioner allegedly abused and assaulted him with a wooden stick. Thereafter, the petitioners allegedly followed him to his house, criminally intimidated him, and caused damage to the house. Hence, a case has been registered against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and



that they are ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the petitioners have previous cases pending against them. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations and the antecedents of the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

22-06-2026

DRL

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Kannankurichi Police Station,
Salem District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15704 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 15704 of 2026

22-06-2026