



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15481 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 15481 of 2026

Manimaran

..Petitioner

Vs

The State rep. By
The Inspector of Police,
Rasipuram Police Station,
Namakkal District.
Crime No.180 of 2026

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to grant an anticipatory bail to the petitioner/alleged accused in the event of his arrest in Crime No.180 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Rasipuram Police Station, Namakkal District, pending investigation.

For Petitioner: Ms.R.Abi Priya

For Respondent: Ms.R.S.Indira,
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 in Crime No.180 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a land dispute between the petitioner and the de facto complainant. During discussions relating to the settlement of a civil suit pending before the competent District civil Court, misunderstanding arose between the parties, due to which wordy quarrel broke out into assault. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the de facto complainant is none other than the paternal uncle of the petitioner. There was a land dispute between them and suit is also pending in O.S.No.566 of 2025 pending before the District Civil Court, Namakkal District. Due to misunderstanding, wordy quarrel has broke out escalated into assault. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that injured got admitted in hospital on 11.06.2026 and discharged on 13.06.2026. and the occurrence took place on 08.06.2026. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and



perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, taking note of the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides, considering the totality of the circumstances, and upon the fact that the injured got discharged from hospital and upon the further fact that FIR was registered on 11.06.2026 for the occurrence took place on 08.06.2026, hence, this Court is of the firm view that at this length of time, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that due to collapse of the building structure, the petitioner's wife and son sustained injuries. Hence, prayed for leniency in conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Rasipuram**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:



WEB COPY



(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

19-06-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Rasipuram.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Rasipuram Police Station,
Namakkal District.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15481 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 15481 of 2026

19-06-2026