



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15617 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 18-06-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR**

**CRL OP No. 15617 of 2026**

Ramachandran

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Rep By,  
The Inspector of Police  
All Women Police Station,  
Tiruthani,  
Thiruvallur District.  
Crime No.33 of 2025

2. Xxxxxxx

3. Jeyamma

..Respondent(s)

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to call for records in Spl.SC.No.235 of 2026 pending on the file of the learned Magalir Neethi Mandram (Fast Track Mahila Court), Thiruvallur and accept the compromise memo and quash the same.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.Shimiyon Edwin M

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Rajasekaran,  
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu  
(Criminal Side) for R1

Mr.K.S.Shyam Sundar for R2



## **ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioner/sole accused, facing trial in Spl.S.C.No.235 of 2026 on the file of the Magalir Neethi Mandram (Fast Track Mahila Court), Thiruvallur, for the offences under Section 87 BNS, Sections 5(l), 5(j)(ii), 6(1) of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act, 2012 and Section 9 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, has filed the present quash petition.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the victim girl, who was aged about 17 years at the time of occurrence, were in love with each other for more than two years. Pursuant thereto, on 28.02.2025 at about 06.00 p.m., the petitioner, knowing very well that the victim girl is a minor, married her in Kali Temple at Thiruvalangadu and thereafter, they rented a house, where, the petitioner committed penetrative sexual assault on the victim girl on several occasions, due to which, she became pregnant. Hence, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent Police registered a case in Crime No.33 of 2025 as against the petitioner. After investigation, the Police filed a final report for the offences under Sections 87 BNS, Sections 5(l), 5(j)(ii), 6(1) of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act, 2012, and Section 9 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, as against the petitioner, which was taken on file in Spl.S.C.No.235 of 2026 on the file of the Magalir Neethi Mandram (Fast Track Mahila Court), Thiruvallur. To quash the same, the present petition has been filed.



3. Now, the case is at the stage of trial. Pending trial, the parties have now arrived at a compromise and they are willing to settle the matter amicably.

Learned counsel on either side submitted that the petitioner and the victim girl were in love with each other and they married with consent. Now, they are living happily and they have a male child out of the wedlock.

4. Learned Government Counsel (Criminal Side) appearing for the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent Police submitted that, on enquiry, it was found that the victim girl has now attained majority and the petitioner and the victim girl are now happily living together as husband and wife and they have a male child born to them on 02.12.2025.

5. Today, the petitioner, victim girl and the mother of the victim girl appeared before this Court and they are identified by Mrs.G.Bharathi, Women Head Constable 312, AWPS, Tiruttani.

6. The petitioner and the victim girl appeared along with the male child born to them on 02.12.2025. In support of the same, the Birth Certificate of the child is also produced before this Court. On enquiry, the victim girl and her mother submitted that they are not inclined to prosecute the petitioner in view of their peaceful future prospects. The parties have filed a joint memo of compromise, dated 15.06.2026, which is scanned and reproduced hereunder :





WEB COPY

**JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO FILED BY PETITIONER AND  
2<sup>nd</sup> RESPONDENT & 3<sup>rd</sup> RESPONDENT**

1. The Petitioner/accused herein and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent/Defacto Complaint and the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent/mother of the victim herein are herewith presenting this Joint Compromise Memo in support of the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Original Petition to quash the Charge Sheet in SPL.S.C. No. 235 of 2026 pending on the file of the learned Magalir Neethi Mandram (Fast Track Mahila Court), Thiruvallur.

2. It is submitted that the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent have lodged complaint before the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police. Therefore at later some point of time only the petitioner and the victim respondent are realized their mistakes. Hence the respondent 2 and 3 has and victim girl and mother no objection for quashing the Charge Sheet in SPL.S.C. No. 235 of 2026 pending on the file of the learned Magalir Neethi Mandram (Fast Track Mahila Court), Thiruvallur as against the petitioner.

3. It is submitted that the compromise entered into between the Parties a is reduced into writing by way of this Joint Compromise Memo and the parties to the petition to Quash along with the respective counsel have signed the same, agreeing to the filing of the quash petition by both parties and express their unconditional willingness to get the respective cases quashed registered based on the respective complaints preferred by the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent to the and respondent.

It is submitted that this Joint Compromise Memo may be treated as part and parcel of the Criminal Original Petition in SPL.S.C. No. 235 of 2026 pending on the file of the learned Magalir Neethi Mandram (Fast Track Mahila Court), Thiruvallur and Charge Sheet may be quashed thus render justice.



WEB COPY

Dated at Chennai this the 15<sup>th</sup> day of June 2026

Petitioner

  
D. Ravi Varan

Respondent

  
24/6/23  
Counsel for the Petitioner  
Counsel for the Respondent

7. The Apex Court in the case of *K.Dhandapani vs. State by the Inspector of Police* reported in *2022 SCC Online SC 1056*, considered the subsequent events and observed that the Court cannot shut its eyes to the ground reality and disturb the happy family life of the accused as well as the victim. This case involves the future of two young persons and quashing the same will in fact pave way for the accused as well as the victim to settle down in their life and look for better future prospects. No useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings and keeping these proceedings pending will only



swell the mental agony of the accused and the victim girl and their families as well. In view of the same, this Court finds that continuation of the proceedings will serve no purpose and it is only an abuse of process of law and is therefore, inclined to quash the same.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and as a sequel, the case in Spl.S.C.No.235 of 2026 on the file of the Magalir Neethi Mandram (Fast Track Mahila Court), Thiruvallur, is hereby quashed against the petitioner. The joint memo of compromise shall form part of the records.

**18-06-2026**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MKN

To

1. The Sessions Judge,  
Magalir Neethi Mandram  
(Fast Track Mahila Court),  
Thiruvallur.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Tiruthani,  
Thiruvallur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15617 of 2



**M.NIRMAL KUMAR J.**

**MKN**

**CRL OP No. 15617 of 2026**

**18-06-2026**