



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 15606 of 2026

1. Ravindiran Venkatesan
S/o.Venkatesan,
No.6, 3rd street lakshmi nagar,
Sanrorkuppam, Ambur,
Sanankuppam R.F, Vellore,
Tamilnadu-635814.
2. Alamelu Ravindiran
W/o.Ravindiran,
No.6, 3rd street lakshmi nagar,
Sanrorkuppam, Ambur,
Sanankuppam R.F, Vellore,
Tamilnadu-635814.
3. Preethi Ravindiran
D/o.Ravindiran,
No.3, Mandhakarai street,
Ambur, Sanankuppam,
Vellore, Tamilnadu-635814.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Ambur Town Police Station,
Thirupattur District.
Cr.No.158 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners/accused on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender in Cr.No.158 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):

M/s. Abdul Samath

For Respondent(s):

MR.N.PALANIVEL, GOVT.ADVOCATE
(CRL.SIDE)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) and 118(1) of BNS, 2023 and under Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.158 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that, owing to a dispute between neighbours, a wordy quarrel ensued and escalated into an assault, causing injuries to the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners are having a good reputation in their locality and deep roots in society. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the



respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the alleged occurrence took place on 19.05.2026 and that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that the alleged occurrence took place on 19.05.2026, the injured was discharged from the hospital, and that the first petitioner is aged about 67 years, this Court is of the firm view that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Ambur**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned



Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];*.

(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

22-06-2026

DRL



To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Ambur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Ambur town police station,
Thirupattur District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15606 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 15606 of 2026

22-06-2026