



WEB COPY



CRL OP No. 16026 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 16026 of 2026

Mohammed Nazimudeen
S/o. K.Nazeem,
Residing at
No. 9/15, G2, Sai Meera Apartments,
Tamil Selvi Street, Puzhuthivakkam,
Madipakkam, Tamilnadu - 600 091.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu Rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thirunavalur Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.
Cr.No.585 of 2025.

..Respondent(s)

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, praying to grant Anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the event of arrest in Cr.No.585 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police and direct that he be released on bail on such terms and conditions as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Jim Raj Milton

For Respondent(s): Mr.N.Palanivel,
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)



CRL OP No. 16026 of 2026


ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence **under Section 318(4) of BNS, 2023** in **Crime No.585 of 2025** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with the other accused issued forged, fabricated and fake Insurance policy without the knowledge of the Insurance Company and thereby, cheated the Insurance Company. Hence, a case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner's name is not found in the FIR. He would further submit that, according to the prosecution, the petitioner has referred on Bharath, who fabricated and forged the Insurance policy and he is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP No. 16026 of 2026


WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that one previous case has been registered against the petitioner in Crime No.499 of 2025, based on which earlier bail application is dismissed. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Though such an objection was raised by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in Crime No.499 of 2025, the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody and during the course of the investigation, he referred about Bharath. Even in the present case, he has been implicated solely on the basis of the complaint, which refers to Bharath.

6. As rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), though there was one previous case against the petitioner, from the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, previous case is also on account of referring one person by name Bharath, who is also an accused in both the cases.

7. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and taking note of the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides, this Court is



WEB COPY

inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.


8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Ulundurpettai**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the



CRL OP No. 16026 of 2026

WEB COPY

conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

9.This Criminal Original Petition is ordered accordingly.

24-06-2026

KMI

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate-II,
Ulundurpettai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thirunavalur Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.

3.The Public Prosecutor
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



CRL OP No. 16026 of 2026

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

KMI

CRL OP No. 16026 of 2026

24-06-2026

Page6 of 6