



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.16168 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.16168 of 2026

Mani

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Sholingur Police Station,
Ranipet District.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.0151/2026 pending investigation registered at Sholingur Police Station, Ranipet District, for alleged offences under Sections 3(2)(a), 3(2)(b), 6(1)(a), 7(1)(a) r/w 4(1) of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Aravindalozana Venkatesh

For Respondent : Mrs.R.S.Indira
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 3(2)(a), 3(2)(b), 6(1)(a), 7(1)(a) and 4(1) of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 in Crime No.151 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, in the guise of running a lodge, has conducted prostitution and thus committed the above offences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. From the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side and the materials available on record, it is seen that the petitioner has one previous criminal case of a similar nature. Considering the seriousness of the



allegations levelled against the petitioner and his antecedents, this Court is of the considered view that, if the petitioner is enlarged on anticipatory bail, it may have an adverse impact on the moral fabric of society. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

25.06.2026

kv

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Sholinghur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Sholingur Police Station,
Ranipet District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.16168 of 2026



C.KUMARAPPAN.J.

kv

Crl.O.P.No.16168 of 2026

25.06.2026