



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15449 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 15449 of 2026

R. Vignesh

..Petitioner

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
E-3, Minjur Police Station,
Ponneri,
Thiruvallur District.

..Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime number not known of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.T. Subramanian

For Respondent:

Ms.R.S.Indira
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 114, 118(1) and 130 of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. Not Known of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the de facto complainant are husband and wife. On 23.05.2026, on the suspicion that the de facto complainant was having an extramarital affair with another person, the petitioner quarreled with her, assaulted her, and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and submitted that the injured person underwent treatment as an outpatient. However, she opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations, and the fact that the petitioner and the de facto complainant are husband and wife, this Court is of the view that the custodial interrogation of



the petitioner is not required at this stage. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to stringent conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate at Ponneri** on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner is directed to stay at Salem District and report before the Inspector of Police, Salem Town Police Station, Salem Town, Salem District daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for**



interrogation;

WEB COPY

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

23-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VEDA

To

1.Judicial Magistrate at Poneeri.

2.The Inspector of Police,
E-3, Minjur Police Station,
Ponneri,
Thiruvallur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 15449 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

VEDA

CRL OP No. 15449 of 2026

23-06-2026