



WEB COPY

REV.APLW.No.193 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-02-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

REV.APLW.No. 193 of 2025

AND

W.M.P.NO. 30147 OF 2025

1. The Commissioner,
Vellore Corporation,
Vellore.

2. The Registrar, (Birth and Death)
Vellore Corproation Zone -IV,
Division 21,
Vellore District.

...Applicants

Vs.

1. S.Naganandhini
W/o.V.G.Sathish,
No.12, Ravandi Venugopal,
3rd Street, Pichanoor, Gudiyattam
Vellore District.

2.Mr.V.G.Sathish,
No.79/44, Big Street, Nedumpuli, Panapakkam,
Vellore - 631 052.
(R2 Suo Motu Impleaded *Vide* Order Dated 28.01.2026
made in Rev.Aplw.193/2025 By DBCJ)

... Respondents

Prayer: Review Application filed under Order 47 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 114 of the Code of Civil Procedure, to review the order passed in the Writ Petition No.5207 of 2025, dated 18.02.2025.

For Applicants

Mr.Prabu.P.S

For Respondents

Mr.G.Mohammed Aseef,



ORDER

WEB COPY

The review application is filed to review the order dated 18.02.2025 made in W.P.No.5207 2025. By the said order, the second respondent, namely the Registrar (Birth and Death), Vellore Corporation Zone-IV, Division-21, Vellore District, was directed to carry out the correction in the column with respect to the name of the petitioner's child as "S.Aadhanyan" instead of "S.Akhileshwar" and issue a corrected Birth Certificate. It was further order that the entire exercise shall be completed within a period of six weeks, from the date of production of the web copy of that order.

2. The review application is filed on the ground that though the writ petition was filed by S.Naganandhini, the mother of the child, when the exercise was undertaken, the father of the child, namely, Mr.V.G.Sathish had objected to the same.

3. In view there of, since the family dispute cannot be taken to the Corporation, this Court entertained the review and directed suo motu impleadment of the said Mr.V.G.Sathish, who is opposing the name correction and notice was also ordered.



4. Today, it is reported that the private notice has been taken out by the Corporation is returned as 'unclaimed' by the said respondent.

WEB COPY

5. In view thereof, service is treated as complete. Even though the 2nd respondent objected before the Corporation, he has not chosen to come before this Court and oppose the prayer to correct the name. When it is pleaded that the petitioner in the writ petition is having the custody of the child and has duly made an application for correction of name and especially, the father of the child, the 2nd respondent herein, having chosen not to contest the review application, the correction shall be made.

6. I am of the view that no further review is needed in respect of the order and the authority can effect the name change as ordered by this Court. Accordingly, the Review Application is ordered. No costs. Consequently, connected petition is closed.

12-02-2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
ari



WEB COPY

REV.APLW.No.193 of 2





WEB COPY

REV.APLW.No.193 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.
ari

REV.APLW No. 193 of 2025
AND
W.M.P.No. 30147 of 2025

12-02-2026