



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S. M. SUBRAMANIAM

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K. SURENDER

WP No. 20865 of 2024

AND

**REV.APLW NO. 193 OF 2024,REV.APLW NO. 194 OF 2024,REV.APLW
NO. 192 OF 2024,REV.APLW NO. 139 OF 2024**

WP No. 20865 of 2024

Tmt.Kumari

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Secretary,
Government Of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The Commissioner
Tiruthani Municipality, Tiruthani-631 209.

..Respondent(s)

REV.APLW No. 193 of 2024

V.Perumal

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Commissioner / Property Officer
Tiruttani Municipality, Tiruttani, Tiruvallur
District.
2. The Secretary To Government,
Housing And Urban Development Department,
Secretariat, Fort St.George, Chennai.600
009.
3. The Director, Town And Country
Planning Department, Guindy, Chennai.(r2
And R3 Are Suo Motu Impleaded As Per Order
Dated 04.12.2024 In Rev.Aplw.No.193/2024)

..Respondent(s)



REV.APLW No. 194 of 2024

Ramya

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

WEB COPY

1. The Commissioner / Property Officer
Tiruttani Municipality, Tiruttani, Tiruvallur
District.
2. The Secretary To Government,
Housing And Urban Development Department,
Secretariat, Fort St.George, Chennai.600
009.
3. The Director, Town And Country
Planning Department, Guindy, Chennai.(r2
And R3 Are Suo Motu Impleaded As Per Order
Dated 04.12.2024 In Rev.Aplw.No.194/2024)

..Respondent(s)

REV.APLW No. 192 of 2024

S.Thanigaivelan

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Commissioner / Property Officer
Tiruttani Municipality, Tiruttani, Tiruvallur
District.
2. The Secretary To Government,
Housing And Urban Development Department,
Secretariat, Fort St.George, Chennai.600
009.
3. The Director, Town And Country
Planning Department, Guindy, Chennai.(r2
And R3 Are Suo Motu Impleaded As Per Order
Dated 04.12.2024 In Rev.Aplw.No.192/2024)

..Respondent(s)

REV.APLW No. 139 of 2024

N.Devaki

..Petitioner(s)



WEB COPY



Vs

1. The Commissioner / Property Officer
Tiruttani Municipality, Tiruttani, Tiruvallur
District.
2. The Secretary To Government,
Housing And Urban Development Department,
Secretariat, Fort St.George, Chennai.600
009.
3. The Director, Town And Country
Planning Department, Guindy, Chennai.
[R2 And R3 Are Suo Motu Impleaded As Per
Order Dated 04.12.2024 In
Rev.Aplw.No.139/2024]

..Respondent(s)

WP No. 20865 of 2024

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India issuing writ of mandamus for a direction to the second respondent to consider the petitioner's representations dated 27.04.2024 and 08.05.2024 sent to the second respondent to take over an extent of 759 square feet of land out of 1640 square feet of land in Plot No.1, Survey No.68/6, Tiruthani Town in lieu of the land sought to be taken over in Survey No.58/6A1A1 part in S.F.No.78 Block No.37, Ward D, Tiruthani Town, Tiruthani Taluk in Thiruvallur District.

REV.APLW No. 193 of 2024

To review the order dated 02.04.2024 passed in W.P. No 6423 of 2023

REV.APLW No. 194 of 2024

To review the order dated 02.04.2024 passed in W.P. No 6426 of 2023

REV.APLW No. 192 of 2024

To review the order dated 02.04.2024 passed in W.P. No 6422 of 2023

REV.APLW No. 139 of 2024



To review the order dated 02.04.2024 passed in W.P.No. 6417 of

2023.

WP No. 20865 of 2024

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.R.Jothimanian for Mr.K.Balu

For Respondent(s): Mr.T.Arunkumar
Additional Government Pleader for R1
Mr.P.Srinivas
Standing Counsel for R2

Review Application (W).No.139, 192, 193 & 194 of 2024

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.R.Jothimanian for Mr.K.Balu

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.Srinivas
Standing Counsel

Common Order

(Order of the Court was made by S.M.Subramaniam J.)

The present review applications have been instituted seeking review of the order dated 02.04.2024 passed in W.P.Nos.6423, 6426, 6422 and 6417 of 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners would mainly contend that approved layout of the year 1987 had not been produced before this Court at the time of hearing the writ petition. Petitioners purchased the land and got building approval.

3. All these factual aspects cannot be re-adjudicated in a review proceedings. Scope of review under Order 47 Rule 1 is limited and only in the



event of error apparent on record, review jurisdiction needs to be exercised.

Grounds for appeal cannot be considered as grounds for reviewing the order passed by this Court. Thus, the grounds raised on merits deserves no further consideration from the hands of this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that they have made an application before the Government.

5. If that is the case, it is for the parties to pursue the application, and said ground would not be of any avail to the petitioners to seek review of the writ order, which is passed otherwise well reasoned. Thus, the petitioners have not made out any acceptable ground for the purpose of entertaining the review petitions.

6. Accordingly, the review applications are dismissed. In view of the order passed in the above review applications, the writ petition subsequently filed seeking similar relief is not entertainable. Consequently, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. The connected miscellaneous petitions, if any, are closed.

(S.M.S.,J.) (K.S.,J.)
02-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GD



To

1. The Secretary,
Government Of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The Commissioner
Tiruthani Municipality, Tiruthani-631 209.
3. The Secretary To Government,
Housing And Urban Development Department,
Secretariat, Fort St.George, Chennai.600
009.
4. The Director, Town And Country
Planning Department, Guindy, Chennai.



WEB COPY

WP No. 20865 of 2



**S.M.SUBRAMANIAM J.
AND
K.SURENDER J.**

GD

**WP No. 20865 of 2024
AND
REV.APLW NO. 193 OF 2024,REV.APLW NO. 194 OF 2024,REV.APLW
NO. 192 OF 2024,REV.APLW NO. 139 OF 2024,**

02-04-2026