



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-06-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

WP No.21916 of 2026

Abhinav Saran K V
Represented by his Guardian and Father
Mr.Kota Satish Kumar,
residing at 2A, H No.
18/53, Kasturi Enclave, Bheemana Garden Street,
Abhiramapuram, Alwarpet,
Chennai- 600 018, Tamil Nadu.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Chairperson,
Central Board of Secondary Education,
(CBSE) Shiksha Kendra-2, Community Centre,
Preet Vihar, Delhi-110 092.
2. The Controller of Examinations
Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE),
Shiksha Kendra-2, Community
Centre, Preet Vihar, Delhi-110 092.
3. The Regional Officer
Central Board of Secondary Education,
Regional Office, No.1630-A, J Block,
Anna Nagar West, Chennai-600 040.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying for a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to forthwith process,
complete and communicate the outcome of the petitioner's application dated
03.06.2026 in Roll No.20615129 for re-evaluation bearing of his class XII
board Examination answer Scripts, particularly in Mathematics, Physics,



Chemistry, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court, and consequently publish and communicate any revised marks awarded pursuant thereto.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.Ashwin Shanbhag
M/s.Keerthikiran Murali
Mr.V.Karthik

For Respondent(s):

Mr.Krishna
Counsel for Government Side

ORDER

By consent of learned counsel on both sides, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The present writ petition is filed praying for a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to process, complete and communicate the outcome of the petitioner's application dated 03.06.2026 in Roll No.20615129 for re-evaluation in respect of class XII board Examination answer Scripts, particularly in Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry and consequently publish and communicate any revised marks awarded pursuant thereto.


3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for petitioner that Class 12th Examination results were declared on 13.05.2026 and the petitioner had obtained an aggregate score of 87%. He would further submit that petitioner in the meanwhile applied to several Universities abroad for admission. The names of universities along with admission deadlines is mentioned in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition, the same is scanned and extracted below:



WEB COPY

(15)


S.No	University	Deadline	Condition	Note
1.	National University of Singapore	Elapsed	No specific condition; 87% might be disadvantageous	Need to request university to consider marks after re-evaluation
2.	Nanyang Technological University	Elapsed	Minimum 90% in all subjects	Need to request university to consider marks after re-evaluation
3.	King's College London (London)	Deadline has elapsed and University has requested to apply as soon as possible.	Minimum 85% in Mathematics and 90% overall	University has communicated to submit as soon as possible.
4.	University of Manchester (London)	Deadline has elapsed and University has requested to apply as soon as possible.	Minimum 85% in Mathematics and 80% overall	University has communicated to submit as soon as possible.
5.	Bocconi University (Italy)	Deadline has elapsed and University has requested to apply as soon as possible.	No specific condition; needed as soon as possible for visa purposes	University has communicated to submit as soon as possible.



4. It is the case of the learned counsel for petitioner that majority of the Universities require 90% aggregate marks for the petitioner's application to be considered for admission. He would state that petitioner had taken out an application for re-valuation on 03.06.2026 in respect of Roll No: 20615129, however, the same is pending consideration. Hence this writ petition.

5. Mr.V.Krishan, learned counsel for respondents would submit that re-evaluation is a time consuming process and requests four weeks time. However, the learned counsel for petitioner would submit that if four weeks time is taken, then admission may be over by that time and the entire process would become an exercise in futility.

6. In that view of the matter, this Court directs the 2nd respondent to consider petitioner's application for re-evaluation and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law by 25.06.2026. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any views with regard to the merits of the representation/application and it is open to the concerned respondent to consider the representation/application on its own merits and in accordance with law.



7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

WEB COPY

10-06-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MKA

To:

1. The Chairperson,
Central Board of Secondary Education,
(CBSE) Shiksha Kendra-2, Community Centre,
Preet Vihar, Delhi-110 092.
2. The Controller of Examinations
Central Board of Secondary Education,
(CBSE), Shiksha Kendra-2, Community
Centre, Preet Vihar, Delhi-110 092.
3. The Regional Officer
Central Board of Secondary Education,
Regional Office, No.1630-A, J Block,
Anna Nagar West, Chennai-600 040.



WEB COPY

WP No.21916 of 2



MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ J.

MKA

WP No. 21916 of 2026

10-06-2026