WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.11.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

Cont.P.No.2197 of 2025

Case QR

CNR:{HCMA011256492025}

V.Subbaiah,
S/o. A.Vaithiyalingam,
No.10/1-B, Kapaleeshwarar Nagar,
Karukku, Ambattur,
Chennai- 600 053.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Sundarajan
   The Zonal Officer,
   Greater Chennai Corporation,
   Zone-7, Ambattur,
   Chennai- 600 053.

2. Manavazhan,
   The Thasildar,
   Ambattur Taluk Office,
   Chennai- 600 053.

Contemnor(s)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Prayer : Petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, seeking to punish the respondents herein for wilful disobedience to the order dated 27.07.2021 passed in W.P.No.15343 of 2021.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.V.Shunmuga Ananth For Mr.R.Chakkaravarthy
For Respondents	:	Mr.A.M.Esakkiappan Standing Counsel for R1 Mr.C.A.Ramanan Government Advocate for R2

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by
the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

This contempt petition alleges non-compliance of the order dated 27.07.2021 passed in W.P.No. 15343 of 2021.

2. While disposing the writ petition, the following order was passed:

> *"4. Accordingly, without going into the merits of the matter, the petitioner is permitted to carry two separate representations: one to the fourth respondent Tahsildar in respect of the alleged encroachment; and another, in respect of the alleged unauthorised construction, to the third*

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

respondent Zonal Officer, Ambattur. Such representations shall be submitted within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Upon receipt thereof, the authority concerned is directed to consider and dispose of the same by a reasoned order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt thereof after providing a reasonable opportunity of hearing both to the petitioner and to the private respondent herein. In view of the fact that the official respondents have been directed to hear the private respondent before passing orders, the writ petition is being disposed of without notice to the private respondent herein."

3. On a perusal of the aforesaid order, it is clear that petitioner was supposed to prefer two representations, one to the Tahsildar in respect of alleged encroachment and another to the Zonal Officer, Ambattur in respect of the alleged unauthorised construction. From the records available, it is seen that even after the lapse of four years, petitioner did not choose to file the said two representations before the competent authority. However, he has chosen to issue contempt notice on 02.04.2025, without complying his part of the order.

4. In view of the aforesaid, we find no disobedience of the order

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

by respondents. Accordingly, the contempt petition is closed.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
03.11.2026

kpl

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

(kpl)

Cont.P.No.2197 of 2025

03.11.2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis