



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14727 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 11-06-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN**

**CRL OP No. 14727 of 2026**

Balaji @ Kavibalaji

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep. by Inspector of Police,  
Tiruvannamalai East Police Station,  
Tiruvannamalai District.  
Crime No.130 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail  
in the event of his arrest pending investigation in Crime No.130 of 2026 on the  
file of the Inspector of Police, Tiruvannamalai East Police Station,  
Tiruvannamalai District and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.A.Mukesh

For Respondent(s): MS.R.S.INDIRA, GOVT.ADVOCATE  
(CRL.SIDE)

### **ORDER**

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Section  
296(b), 118(1), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.130 of 2026 on the  
file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.




2. The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioner abused and assaulted the defacto complainant. Due to which, the defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for his due release and to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submits that the investigation is not yet completed and if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail, there is a possibility that he will tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation. He would further submit that twelve similar previous cases pending against the petitioner and hence he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the allegations and also the bad antecedent of the petitioner and the fact that the case is in the initial stage of the investigation and that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is essential, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

WEB COPY

**11-06-2026**

GBI

To

- 1.The State rep. by Inspector of Police,  
Tiruvannamalai East Police Station,  
Tiruvannamalai District.  
Crime No.130 of 2026
- 2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14727 of 2



**G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.**

**GBI**

**CRL OP No. 14727 of 2026**

**11-06-2026**