



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 14546 of 2026

1. Dinesh @ Santhoshkumar
S/o.Rathinam,
No.166, Mariamman Koil Street,
Anaicut Taluk,
Vellore District.
2. Praveen @ Praveenkumar
S/o.Kullan @ Gunasekar,
Mariamman Koil Street,
Anaicut Taluk, Vellore District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State by,
The Inspector of Police,
Veppamkuppamm Police Station,
Vellore District.
Cr.No.149 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Cr.No.149 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Thirumoorthy D

For Respondent(s): MS.R.S.INDIRA,
GOVT.ADVOCATE (CRL.SIDE)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324 and



351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.149 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are residents of the same locality. It is alleged that, during a temple festival, a wordy quarrel arose between the parties, owing to which, the petitioners manhandled the defacto complainant, used filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that, due to previous enmity, a false case has been foisted against the petitioners and that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that, during the temple festival, owing to nuisance caused by loud music played on the radio, a wordy quarrel broke out, which resulted in the assault, and that no one sustained any injury. Hence, he opposed to grant



anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the totality of the circumstances and the fact that no one sustained any injury during the temple festival and that there are no previous cases against the petitioners, this Court is of the firm view that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Vellore** on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;



WEB COPY



(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall stay at Coimbatore and report before E1 Singanallur Police Station, daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

10-06-2026

DRL

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Vellore.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Veppamkuppamm Police Station,
Vellore District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14546 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 14546 of 2026

10-06-2026