



WEB COPY

WP No. 19818 of 2024



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE P.T. ASHA

WP No. 19818 of 2024

Vijayaraghavan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Executive Engineer
Tamilnadu Housing Board, Hosur.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking to issue a writ of mandamus, directing the respondent to issuance the No Objection certificate and consequently direct the respondent to execute the Sale Deed pertaining to the House No.LIG 159 favour of legal heirs of Late Venkatraman.

For Petitioner: Mr.J.Pradeep

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.M.Ravindranath Jayapal,
Standing Counsel

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondent to issue 'No Objection Certificate' and consequently direct the respondent to execute the Sale Deed pertaining to the House No.LIG 159 in favour of legal heirs of Late Venkatraman.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that his father late K.Venkatraman was allotted a housing plot under the LIG Scheme in Harur Housing Development



Project and he was directed to pay a sum of Rs.1,07,900/- as a sale consideration and the allotment was made on 31.12.1997 on the payment of initial sale consideration of Rs.32,370/-. As per the terms of the allotment, the petitioner's father therefore required to pay the balance amount in a span of 14 years on monthly installment of Rs.1107/- and monthly maintenance was fixed at Rs.120/-. It is also stated that his father was meticulous and prompt in paying the installments. The petitioner by the said benevolent housing scheme constructed the house and was residing there till his demise and the family has been occupying the premises for the past nearly three decades.

3. While so, the respondent had made a demand for further payment and had threatened to dispossesses the petitioner's father which prompted him to file a suit for bare injunction in O.S.No.216 of 2008 on the file of the District Munsif, Harur. The suit was also decreed on 06.01.2012. Without challenging the impugned order, the petitioner's claims for issuance of sale deed to enable Registration and execution, has not been acceded to and on the demise of his father the petitioner has stepped in as a sole legal heir and has come forward with the aforesaid writ petitioner, is be acceptable.

4. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.



5. The very allotment letter would clearly show that what was fixed is only the tentative cost which was subject to escalation. Therefore, the first premise on which the writ petition has been filed, cannot be sustained as the cost fixed earlier was only tentative cost.

6. After arguing the matter, the learned for the petitioner agrees to pay the sum demanded to get the sale deed executed.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioner now agrees to pay sum demanded no further orders are required passed in this petition. Accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed. No costs.

27-01-2026

vum

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Executive Engineer
Tamilnadu Housing Board, Hosur



WEB COPY

WP No. 19818 of 2024



P.T.ASHA J.

vum

WP No. 19818 of 2024

27-01-2026