



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

WP No.23308 of 2026

Krithik Kumar

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1.The Director General,
National Testing Agency,
First Floor, NSIC-MDBP Building,
Okhla Industrial Estate,
New Delhi- 110 020.

2.The Director (Exams),
National Testing Agency,
First Floor, NSIC-MDBP Building,
Okhla Industrial Estate,
New Delhi-110 020.

..Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent Nos.1 and 2 to re-evaluate the petitioner's answer sheet of the second session of joint entrance examination bearing roll No.TN020100831 and to declare the correct percentages of marks by considering the representation in the form of an e-mail dated 05.05.2026 within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.K.Myilsamy

For Respondent(s):

Mrs.Sunita Kumari
Standing Counsel
For R1 and R2



ORDER

WEB COPY

The present writ petition has been filed for a direction to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to re-evaluate petitioner's answer sheet of the second session of joint entrance examination bearing roll No.TN020100831 and to declare the correct percentages of marks by considering petitioner's representation in the form of an e-mail dated 05.05.2026, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2. It is submitted by learned Standing Counsel for respondents that mandamus itself ought not to be entertained inasmuch as petitioner's request for revaluation cannot be entertained nor does he have any kind of a right to seek revaluation or rechecking of results and in this regard, learned Standing Counsel for respondents would place reliance upon the Information Bulletin-2026 of National Testing Agency JEE (MAIN) 2026. Its states as under:

“There shall be no re-evaluation/re-checking of the result. No correspondence in this regard shall be entertained.”

3. Learned counsel for petitioner would submit that they were two sessions, the marks scored in the two sessions are at great variance which



legitimately makes him believe that evaluation was done erroneously.

WEB COPY

4. Though this Court sympathises with petitioner, however in view of the above Bulletin, petitioner has not been able to show any right to seek revaluation. It is trite that a mandamus would lie only when there is a right which is established and a corresponding obligation, which the petitioner despite repeated queries was unable to establish.

5. In view thereof, writ petition stands dismissed. No costs.

22-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
MRN

To

1.The Director General,
National Testing Agency,
First Floor, NSIC-MDBP Building,
Okhla Industrial Estate,
New Delhi- 110 020.

2.The Director (Exams),
National Testing Agency,
First Floor, NSIC-MDBP Building,
Okhla Industrial Estate,
New Delhi-110 020.



WEB COPY

WP No.23308 of 2



MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.

MRN

WP No.23308 of 2026

22-06-2026