



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14536 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 14536 of 2026

1. Babu

No 49, 1st Main 3 rd Cross Street ,
Kaveri Layout, Nagarpavi Main,
North Bengalure,
Karnataka State - 635 804.

2. Shyam

No 49, 1st Main , 3rd Crross Street ,
Kaveri Layout , Nagarpavi Main,
North Bengalure.
Karnataka State – 635 804.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
Veppamkuppam Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.123 of 2026)

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of the arrest in connection with the crime No.123 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s):


Mr .T Saravanan

For Respondent(s):

MS.R.S.INDIRA,
GOVT.ADVOCATE (CRL.SIDE)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS



Act, in Crime No.123 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.


WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that, due to a property dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners allegedly attacked the defacto complainant using key chain and caused injuries to him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the injured was treated as out patient and was discharged on the same day. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.



WEB COPY

6. Considering the totality of the circumstances, the fact that the dispute arose out of a property dispute and that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is of the firm view that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.5, Vellore**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY

(c) **The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

10-06-2026

DRL

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.5,
Vellore.

2.The Inspector of Police
Veppamkuppam Police Station,
Vellore District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14536 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 14536 of 2026

10-06-2026