



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 14343 of 2026


1. Raguraman
S/o Kannan @ Narayanan,
Residing at,
D.No.14, Aneri Village,
Rachamangalam Post, Thirupattur Taluk,
Thirupattur District.
2. Ramamoorthy
S/o Kannan @ Narayanan,
Residing at,
D.No.14, Aneri Village,
Rachamangalam Post,
Thirupattur Taluk, Thirupattur District.
3. Thirupathi
S/o Kannan @ Narayanan,
Residing at,
D.No.14, Aneri Village,
Rachamangalam Post, Thirupattur Taluk,
Thirupattur District.
4. Kowsalya
Do.No.14,Aneri village, Rachamangalam post,
Thirupattur Taluk,
Thirupattur District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
Thirupattur Taluk Police Station,
Thirupattur District.
Crime No.253 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)



PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of arrest in Crime No.253 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Ajith

For Respondent(s): M/s.R.S.Indira,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.253 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners entered into the workplace of the defacto complainant for the purpose of removing waste materials from their own workplace and, during the course of the occurrence, allegedly abused and attacked the defacto complainant with weapons, thereby causing injuries to him. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.




4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the injured was admitted in the hospital on 03.06.2026 and was later discharged on 06.06.2026. He further submitted that the petitioners have no bad antecedents against them. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations against the petitioners, the fact that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital and the petitioners have no bad antecedents, this Court is of the firm view that, at this length of time, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thirupattur**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute**




a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only),
with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned
Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;



(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

WEB COPY

DRL

08-06-2026

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Thirupattur.

2.The Inspector of Police
Thirupattur Taluk Police Station,
Thirupattur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14343 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 14343 of 2026

08-06-2026