



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 05-06-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**CRL OP No. 14218 of 2026**

Prabhu Manoharan  
S/o. Manoharan,  
No.8, A/1, Mudukku Street,  
Thiruvarur, Tamilnadu-610001.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State By Tamil Nadu The Inspector of Police  
T-3, Korattur Police Station,  
Chennai.

..Respondent(s)

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on Anticipatory Bail on event of arrest in Crime No.185 of 2026 on the file of Respondent Police (T-3, Korattur Police Station, Chennai).

|                    |                                            |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s): | M/S. S.J. Mohamed Sathik                   |
| For Respondent(s): | MS.R.S.INDIRA, GOVT.ADVOCATE<br>(CRL.SIDE) |

### **ORDER**

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.185 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to money dispute between the



petitioner and the defacto complainant, on the date of occurrence, there was a wordy quarrel during which the petitioner abused and assaulted the defacto complainant and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence, a case has been registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Taking into consideration the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous cases pending against him, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.




7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Ambattur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner(s) fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

**(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner(s) in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner(s) released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;




(e) If the petitioner(s) thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

WEB COPY

GBI

05-06-2026



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court, Ambattur.
2. The Inspector of Police  
T-3, Korattur Police Station,  
Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14218 of 2



**C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

**GBI**

**CRL OP No. 14218 of 2026**

**05-06-2026**