



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14472 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 14472 of 2026

1. Vijay
2. Bharath

..Petitioners

Vs

The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
M-3, Puzhal Police Station,
Chennai,
Crime No.986/2025

..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the Petitioners on Anticipatory bail in the event of arrest made by the respondent police in Crime Number 986 of 2025 on the file of respondents police.

For Petitioner: Ms.A.Ayesha Parveen

For Respondent: Ms.R.S.Indira,
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER


The petitioners apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Section 123 of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.986 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that one Meganathan who is the childhood friend of de facto complainant, has injected “Tydol” medicine in the hands of the de facto complainant. Due to which, an infection developed in his hands and subsequently admitted in hospital. It was informed to him in the hospital that the said Tydol tablet pose a serious threat to human life. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the petitioners possessed 240 numbers of Tidol tablets. He further submitted that petitioners are arrayed as A7 and A8, and that A7 has one previous case pending against him, while A8 has five previous cases pending against him. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, taking note of the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides, it is seen that by the previous conduct of the petitioners, this Court is able to visualise the character of these petitioners, which is not in their favour. Apart from this, the petitioners were in possession of a controlled substance. In such a case, if anticipatory bail is granted, then it would run counter to the interest of the society. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

09-06-2026

SHL/NSL

To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Madhavaram

2. The Inspector of Police
M-3, Puzhal Police Station,
Chennai.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14472 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 14472 of 2026

09-06-2026