



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-06-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP No. 14743 of 2026

Radhaas
S/o. Raja,
No.11, Sivasakthi Nagar,
Ammamanbakkam, Orathur Panchayth,
Padappai, Sriperumpudur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by the Inspector of Police
CCB Tambaram Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
(Crime No.59 of 2025)

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 483 of BNSS, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with the crime No.59 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police and pass such order or further order as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): M/S. D.Padmanabhan

For Respondent(s): MR.S.Yogaraja Sekar,
Counsel for Government of Tamilnadu
(Crl.Side)


**ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.03.2026 for the offence punishable under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468 , 471 of IPC and 120B of IPC in crime No.57 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the owner of the subject property situated at Kayarambedu Village, Chengalpattu District. According to the prosecution, one Sekar executed a Power of Attorney in favour of the petitioner in respect of the same property. It is alleged that based on the said Power of Attorney, subsequent sale transactions were executed in favour of third parties. The prosecution alleges that the documents were created without valid title over the property. It is further alleged that the accused persons created forged documents and cheated the complainant which led to the registration of an FIR. Hence, the present petition has been filed by the petitioners for seeking bail.


3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that



that he had merely acted as a Power of Attorney based on a registered document. He would further submit that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 26.03.2026 and he is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner had participated in the execution of the disputed documents by acting as Power of Attorney. He further submitted that the petitioner had sold the subject property, thereby forging the power deed. He further submitted that the petitioner's earlier bail petition was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 06.05.2026 in Crl.OP.No.14743 of 2026. Since the petitioner is the main perpetrator, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on records.



6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners from the date of his arrest, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II at Chengalpattu and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m and 06.30 p.m until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.


[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

11-06-2026

VV

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu

2. The Inspector of Police, CCB Tambaram Police Station, Chengalpattu District.

3. The District Jail, Chengalpattu

4. The Public Prosecutor,

High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 14743 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

VV

CRL OP No. 14743 of 2026

11-06-2026